

CEPF SMALL GRANT FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

I. BASIC DATA

Organization Legal Name: World Pheasant Association

Project Title (as stated in the grant agreement):

The development of a Galliformes monitoring programme in Southwest China: preparatory phase

Implementation Partners for This Project: World Pheasant Association and Sichuan Forest Department (Sichuan Provincial Wildlife Survey and Conservation Management Station)

Project Dates (as stated in the grant agreement): April 1, 2004 to Oct. 31, 2004

Date of Report (month/year): January 2005

II. OPENING REMARKS

Provide any opening remarks that may assist in the review of this report.

Please read this in conjunction with the detailed report on field activities that was sent to CEPF in October last year. I attach this again for your information.

III. NARRATIVE QUESTIONS

1. What was the initial objective of this project?

To provide for thorough preparation of work to be carried out under a large grant proposal that will seek to develop a monitoring system that is based on Galliformes. This is currently under negotiation with CEPF. Specifically, to identify candidate sites, constraints on field methodologies and identification of additional potential research components.

2. Did the objectives of your project change during implementation? If so, please explain why and how.

No, the objectives did not deviate at all.

3. How was your project successful in achieving the expected objectives?

The project did provide the outputs stated in the Small Grant proposal and together with subsequent discussions with SFD and CEPF/CI has served to refine the scale of the large proposal. It is anticipated that final discussions will take place in January 2005 and that these will enable a finalized proposal that is acceptable to CEPF to be submitted by the end of January 2005.

4. Did your team experience any disappointments or failures during implementation? If so, please explain and comment on how the team addressed these disappointments and/or failures.

No significant failures were encountered. However, because of the late start to the project, few Galliformes species were calling as they were not breeding. This was not a critical issue for the preparatory phase as sites were visited that contained the whole range of habitats that we need to assess during the development of the monitoring programme. However, it has emphasized that during the full project, fieldwork must start promptly so as to maximize the benefits of field time.

5. Describe any positive or negative lessons learned from this project that would be useful to share with other organizations interested in implementing a similar project.

As we expected the timing of fieldwork is crucial, but it does mean that once a monitoring system has been developed, it can be implemented at a standard time each year. This means that results will be more likely to be comparable from year to year and that workloads for reserve staff (for example) do not have to be unnecessarily burdened.

I am not exactly clear about other potential lessons that might be valuable, but I would propose to discuss this with Sun Shan (CI-China) in Beijing during my visit to finalise the full proposal.

6. Describe any follow-up activities related to this project.

A visit to Beijing and Chengdu in January 2005 to finalise the full proposal.

7. Please provide any additional information to assist CEPF in understanding any other aspects of your completed project.

VII. ADDITIONAL FUNDING

As this is a preliminary phase, we had not sought to leverage additional funds. However, we do have specific targets for additional support should we secure the CEPF funds.

Provide details of any additional donors who supported this project and any funding secured for the project as a result of the CEPF grant or success of the project.

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes

****Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:***

- A** *Project co-financing (Other donors contribute to the direct costs of this CEPF project)*
- B** *Complementary funding (Other donors contribute to partner organizations that are working on a project linked with this CEPF project)*

C *Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF project.)*

D *Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)*

VIII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Nothing obvious springs to mind, but I shall discuss with Sun Shan what sort of issues are important here during my visit to Beijing/Chengdu from 18-28 January.

VIII. INFORMATION SHARING

CEPF aims to increase sharing of experiences, lessons learned and results among our grant recipients and the wider conservation and donor communities. One way we do this is by making the text of final project completion reports available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and by marketing these reports in our newsletter and other communications. Please indicate whether you would agree to publicly sharing your final project report with others in this way.

Yes _____

No _____

If yes, please also complete the following:

For more information about this project, please contact:

Name: Dr Philip McGowan
Mailing address: World Pheasant Association
7-9 Shaftesbury Street
Fordingbridge
Hampshire
SP6 1JF UK

Tel: +44 (0) 1425 657129
Fax: +44 (0) 1425 658053
Email: conservation@pheasant.org.uk
Website: www.pheasant.org.uk