



## Small Grants – Final Completion and Impact Report

**Instructions:** CEPF requires that each grantee report on project results and impacts at the end of their grant. To monitor CEPF's global indicators, CEPF will aggregate the data that you submit with data from other grantees, to determine the overall impact of CEPF investment. The aggregated results of all grantees will be reported on in our annual impact report and other communications materials. Your Final Completion and Impact Report will be posted on the CEPF website.

**Ensure that the information provided pertains to the entire project, from start date to project end date.**

*Please complete all fields and respond to all questions listed below.*

**Organization Legal Name: Development Concern (DEVCON)**

**Project Title: Strengthening Communities' Indigenous Coalition for Effective Forest Governance**

**Grant Number: CEPF-112433.**

**Date of Completion of this Report: 20<sup>th</sup> march, 2022**

**CEPF Hotspot:**

**Strategic Direction: 4**

**Grant Amount: 24,530 USD**

**Project Dates: 1<sup>st</sup> September, 2021 to 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2022**

### PART I: Overview

**1. Implementation Partners for this Project (*list each partner and explain how they were involved in the project*)**

EKIAO Forest Conservation Initiative: was a major beneficiary of the project and also participated the environmental awareness activities in the communities facilitating the community entry of the project.

**2. Summarize the overall results of your project**

This project successfully trained and developed the capacity of 45 community members including 18 management committee members of EKIAO forest conservation Initiative in organizational governance, project implementation, and financial management. The organization is now better organized and holding better coordinated meetings among themselves without external support. The group have developed meaningful measures to guide the sustainable management of their forest. These measures include regular meetings to discuss conservation challenges confronting the different communities with the view to

proffering solution. Establish forest management committees, eco-guards in all the communities for forest surveillance and Source for opportunities for livelihood options for community members who are predominantly forest defendants.

The project reached out to the 14 communities that make up EKIAO Initiative through their representatives with the message of forest conservation, and collectively held town hall meetings in two communities (Iko Ekperem and Ifumkpa).

over 700 community members spread across the 14 communities now appreciate the value of forest and the need for conservation as a result of the awareness raising campaign.

Significantly, the awareness raising activity moved Ifumkpa to request that they be officially registered as member of EKIAO Conservation Initiative. Communities without forest management committee and eco-guards have officially written to DEVCON for support in the setting up of forest management committee and eco-guards.

A workshop on understanding the Cross River State forestry laws was held for EKIAO Initiative with over 50 other community members attending. The result was an indebt understanding of the forestry law especially the sections related to community role and rights in forest management. The workshop ended with a communique which articulated the communities' feelings on the present situation of forest in the state and their recommendations.

The communique was given wide publicity through the print media targeting especially the state forestry commission and state government and development partners who could also help in advocacy. The communique also gave salient points that resulted in the development of a policy brief which is an expected output from this project.

Following series of meetings with the management team of EKIAO Initiative and other community members a policy brief which articulated their concerns and positions on the illegal forest activities in the state was developed. The policy brief was distributed to relevant ministries like the ministry of climate change and forestry, ministry of environment, and environment NGOs. The website of DEVCON will also be updated to include among other information, the policy brief.

The project also recorded some very remarkable unexpected outcome.

- The women attest that because of the development trainings held with the Chief in the course of this project back home they are now better integrated into the decision-making meetings which hitherto had been exclusively men's matter.
- Conflict situation on forest ownership between two member communities was reported to EKIAO Initiative from one of the communities seeking the intervention of the group. This significantly demonstrates the confidence the communities now have in the group and the potential the group has in ensuring that conflicts among member communities are not allowed to escalate. The EKIAO Chief's advisory council has detailed a committee to investigate the matter and present their recommendation to the larger house on an agree date.

### **3. Briefly describe actual progress towards each planned long-term and short-term impact (as stated in the approved proposal)**

*List each long-term impact from your proposal*

- a. Planned Long-term Impacts - 3+ years (as stated in the approved proposal)

| Impact Description  | Impact Summary  |
|---|---|
| 280,000 hectares community forest of Ekuri-Iko forest bloc sustainably managed as a result of community awareness and strengthening of the management capacity of EKIAO Initiative. | As a result of this project a total of about 280,000 ha of forest in the 14 communities has improved legal protection, protecting biodiversity and important ecosystem functions. The capacity of the indigenous communities' coalition has been built and effectively coordinating sustainable forest management activities in 14 communities. Thus a significant contribution to the long-term impact on CEPF Strategic Direction 4 " Building capacity of local civil society organizations including indigenous peoples, women's and young groups to conserve and manage globally important biodiversity. |

b. Planned Short-term Impacts - 1 to 3 years (as stated in the approved proposal)

| Impact Description   | Impact Summary  |
|--|---|
| 45 community representatives and management team of EKIAO Conservation Initiative's capacity strengthened in organizational governance, project implementation and financial management leading to the sustainable management of 280,000 hectares community forest | <p>EKIAO Forest Conservation Initiative effectively coordinating community meetings and raising awareness on sustainable forest management.</p> <p>Resolutions on sustainable community forest management in place in the communities. The setting up of effective forest management committee and Eco-guards on going in the communities.</p> <p>The EKIAO Forest Initiative management team demonstrating increase capacity in organizational governance and project management through coordinated awareness meetings with communities coming up with a resolution to jointly work towards the sustenance of their forest in line with community forest management plan developed/reviewed.</p> <p>These actions have led to a drastic reduction in the level of illegal and unsustainable forest activities in the communities' forest.</p> |
| the 14 communities in the Ekuri-Iko forest bloc are better informed on sustainable forest management practices resulting to sustainable management of the 280,000-community forest   | <p>Awareness raising meetings held in deferent communities with strong community resolution to sustainably manage their forest.</p> <p>The following measure for sustainable forest management in place:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Establishment of forest management committee.</li> </ul>  |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Setting up of community eco-guards to carryout forest surveillance around the community forest</li> <li>• Review the existing forest management plan and bye law.</li> </ul> <p>The above measures have led to institutionalization of sustainable forest management system in the communities, regulating forest activities and protecting the forest from further degradation.</p> |
| 14 communities with increased knowledge on Cross River State forestry law resulting to a more inclusive community forest governance system | Communities are now better informed on the Cross River state forestry law. This resulted in the development of a communique highlighting the gaps in the forestry law with special emphases on community rights, and participation in forest management. This communique was presented to the Cross River State forestry commission calling for ideal community forestry practice by government                               |

**4. Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?**

The project recorded the following unexpected impacts:

- Women are now more involved in decision making in the communities as a result of the trainings. Following these trainings, the chiefs are better informed and now appreciate more the role of women in community governance. More women are now integrated into the decision-making meetings which hitherto had been exclusively men’s matter
- Conflict management: EKIAO Initiative within this project period was confronted with a conflict situation for forest use between two member communities Iyamatat and Agoi Ekpo, a case that was reported to the organization by the community leader of Agoi Ekpo seeking the intervention of the group. A committee of 5 members, made up of 4 male and 1 female, was set up to investigate the matter and report to the general meeting on a scheduled date. Significantly, this is a demonstration of the confidence the communities now have in the group to handle matters of land or forest related conflict. Apparently, this is the result from the capacity trainings provided to the EKIAO Initiative leadership.

**PART II: Project Products/Deliverables**

**5. List each product/deliverable as stated in your approved proposal and describe the results for each of them:**

| # | Deliverable Description   | Deliverable Update  |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 45 community representatives and management team of EKIAO Conservation Initiative trained on organizational governance, project | EKIAO Initiative now have a better coordinated leadership system that supports the sustainable management of their forest. Regular meetings are |

|   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
|   | implementation and financial management  | held where communities' forest protection is discussed.   |
| 2 | Awareness on sustainable forest management raised in 14 communities in the Ekuri-Iko forest bloc | With the awareness meetings across the 14 communities a good number of the community people are getting involved in activities of conservation. Young men, including women are volunteering as eco-guards and Forest management committee members to support community forest management and surveillance activities. |
| 3 | 14 communities trained on Cross River State forestry laws  | There is better understanding of the Cross River State Forestry laws especially in the areas that concern community participation and rights. This understanding is demonstrated in the communique developed at the end of the training calling on government to support them to take charge of their forest.         |

**6. Please describe and submit any tools, products, or methodologies that resulted from this project or contributed to the results.**

The project came up with the following.

- A communique at the end of the training on the Cross River State Forestry Law which clearly articulated the communities' appreciation of the forestry law and their recommendations to address the administrative gaps in the implementation of the law.
- The project developed a policy brief which is a submission of the participants on the issue of sustainable forest management in Cross River State.

**PART III: Lessons, Sustainability, Safeguards and Financing**

**Lessons Learned**

**7. Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building.**

“Lessons learned” are experiences you have gained that you think would be valuable successes worth replicating or practices that you would do differently if you had the chance. Consider lessons that would inform project design and implementation, and any other lessons relevant to the conservation community. CEPF Lessons Learned Guidelines are available here:

<https://www.cepf.net/sites/default/files/cepf-lessons-learned-guidelines-english.pdf>.

Through the design and implementation of this project guided by the trainings and mentorship the following lessons were learnt:

- The skills of the DEVCON staff involved in the CEPF trainings on project management, proposal writing has improved..

- You never realize how knowledgeable the community people are until you engage them, and project impacts are more meaningful when the community people are in the center of the project implementation. This we have come to realize in the process of implementing this project where communities' institution and representatives are the major beneficiaries and co facilitators.
- The project opened up opportunity for networking and collaboration among participating NGOs across the Guinean forests region. We have taken advantage of this platform to establish partnerships in other countries of the region.

### **Sustainability / Replication**

**8. Summarize the success or challenges in ensuring the project will be sustained or replicated, including any unplanned activities that are likely to result in increased sustainability or replicability.**

The sustainability of this project lies in the following:

- The project is driven by EKIAO Initiative a community established coalition following traditional benefit sharing system in appointment and other benefits, with the interest of both women and youths taken into consideration. This gives the larger communities sense of ownership. The project is coordinated by them and driven by them through their representatives. The implementing committee can be held accountable through their traditional methods which they respect and has always worked for them. This level of inclusiveness assures the sustainability of the project
- The capacities of elected members are built to manage the organization. Weak capacity of the management team of any organization will lead to the collapse of that organization. The team with the needed capacity will be able to guide the organization to achieve her goal. The organization will remain focus and will continue to enjoy the support of all stakeholders. Moreso, with the capacity of communities' representative built, this capacity will also be stepped down to other community members thereby replicating that skill and capacity to more people ensuring that the organization continues to grow.

### **Safeguards**

**9. If not listed as a separate Project Component and described above, summarize the implementation of any required action related to social or environmental safeguards that your project may have triggered.**

This project has not triggered any social or environmental safeguard.

### **Additional Funding**

**10. Provide details of any additional funding that you have secured to support this project.**

**a. Total additional funding (US\$)**

**b. Type of funding**

Please provide a breakdown of additional funding (counterpart funding and in-kind) by source.

No additional funding received

| Donor | Type of Funding | Amount |
|-------|-----------------|--------|
|       |                 |        |
|       |                 |        |
|       |                 |        |
|       |                 |        |

**Additional Comments/Recommendations**

**11. Use this space to provide any further comments or recommendations in relation to your project or CEPF.**

**PART IV: Impact at Portfolio and Global Level**

**Contribution to Portfolio Indicators**

**12.** In order to measure the results of CEPF investment strategy at the hotspot level, CEPF uses a set of Portfolio Indicators which are presented in the Ecosystem Profile of each hotspot. Please list these below and report on the project’s contribution(s) to them.

| Indicator   | Actual Numeric Contribution  | Actual Contribution Description  |
|---|--|--|
| <i>Number of hectares within production landscapes managed for biodiversity conservation or sustainable use</i> | Approximately 280,000 hectares of forest collectively belonging to 14 neighbouring communities | The 14 communities that formed the EKIAO Initiative coalition together have 280,000 hectares community own forest which they are determined to sustainably manage. The capacity development training received by EKIAO initiative has led to the setting up of community structures (Forest Management Committee, Community Eco-guards, Forest Management Plan, and bye laws) that enables |

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
|  |  | sustainable management of the approximately 280,000 hectares communities' forest.   |
| <i>Number of local community empowered for sustainable management of priority sites or landscape ecological connectivity</i> | 14 communities are directly impacted by this project | 14 communities in the Ekuri- Iko forest block are receiving capacity development training for the sustainable management of their 280,000-community forest. So far, they have received trainings on organisational and leadership development which has positioned them for better coordination and management of their forest. |

### **Contribution to Global Indicators**

**Please report on all Global Indicators that pertain to your project.**

#### **13. Benefits to Individuals**

##### **13a. Number of men and women receiving structured training.**

Report on the number of men and women that have benefited from structured training due to your project, such as financial management, beekeeping, horticulture, farming, biological surveys, or how to conduct a patrol.

| <b># of men receiving structured training *</b> | <b># of women receiving structured training *</b> | <b>Topic(s) of Training</b>  |
|---|---|--|
| 13  | 5   | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Leadership development</li> <li>2. Organizational management</li> <li>3. Financial management</li> </ol> |

*\*Please do not count the same person more than once. For example, if 5 men received structured training in beekeeping, and 3 of these also received structured training in project management, the total number of men who benefited from structured training should be 5.*



**13b. Number of men and women receiving cash benefits.**

Report on the number of men and women that had an increase in income or cash (monetary) benefits due to your project from activities such as tourism, handicraft production, increased farm output, increased fishery output, medicinal plant harvest, or payment for conducting patrols.

| # of men receiving cash benefits* | # of women receiving cash benefits* | Description of Benefits |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Nil                               | Nil                                 |                         |

*\*Please do not count the same person more than once. For example, if 5 men received cash benefits due to tourism, and 3 of these also received cash benefits from increased income due to handicrafts, the total number of men who received cash benefits should be 5.*

**14. Protected Areas****Number of hectares of protected areas created and/or expanded**

Report on the number of hectares of protected areas that have been created or expanded as a result of your project. Protected areas may include private or community reserves, municipal or provincial parks, or other designations where biodiversity conservation is an official management goal.

| Name of PA* | Country(s) | Original # of Hectares** | # of Hectares Newly Protected | Year of Legal Declaration/ Expansion | Longitude*** | Latitude*** |
|-------------|------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
|             |            |                          |                               |                                      |              |             |
|             |            |                          |                               |                                      |              |             |
|             |            |                          |                               |                                      |              |             |

*\* If possible please provide a shape file of the protected area to CEPF.*

*\*\* Enter the original total size, excluding the results of your project. If the protected area was not existing before your project, then enter zero.*

*\*\*\* Indicate the latitude and longitude of the center of the site, to the extent possible, or send a map or shapefile to CEPF. Give geographic coordinates in decimal degrees; latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere and longitudes in the Western Hemisphere should be denoted with a minus sign (example: Latitude 38.123456 Longitude: -77.123456). To obtain the latitude and longitude of your protected area, use googlemap, right click on the center of your protected area, and select "What's here?", and copy the latitude and longitude appearing in the popup window.*

**15. Key Biodiversity Area Management****Number of hectares of Key Biodiversity Areas (KBA) with improved management**

Report on the number of hectares in KBAs with improved management, where tangible results have been achieved to support conservation, as a result of your project. Examples of improved management include, but are not restricted to increased patrolling, reduced intensity of snaring, invasive species eradication, reduced incidence of fire, and introduction of sustainable

agricultural/fisheries practices. Do not record the entire area covered by the project - only record the number of hectares that have improved management.

If you have recorded part or all of a KBA as newly protected for the indicator entitled “protected areas”, and you have also improved its management, you should record the relevant number of hectares for both this indicator and the “protected areas” indicator.

| Name of KBA | KBA Code from Ecosystem Profile | # of Hectares Improved * |
|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
|             |                                 |                          |
|             |                                 |                          |

*\* Do not count the same hectares more than once. For example, if 500 hectares were improved due to implementation of a fire management regime in the first year, and 200 of these same 500 hectares were improved due to invasive species removal in the second year, the total number of hectares with improved management would be 500.*

## 16. Production landscapes

### Number of hectares of production landscape with strengthened management of biodiversity

Please report on the number of hectares of production landscapes with strengthened management of biodiversity, as a result of your project. A production landscape is defined as a landscape where commercial agriculture, forestry or natural product exploitation occurs.

- For an area to be considered as having "strengthened management of biodiversity," it can benefit from a wide range of interventions such as best practices and guidelines implemented, incentive schemes introduced, sites/products certified, and sustainable harvesting regulations introduced.
- Areas that are protected are not included under this indicator, because their hectares are counted elsewhere.
- A Production Landscape can include part or all of an unprotected KBA.

| Name of Production Landscape* | # of Hectares with Strengthened Management** | Latitude*** | Longitude*** | Description of Intervention |
|-------------------------------|--|-------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
|                               |  |             |              |                             |
|                               |  |             |              |                             |
|                               |  |             |              |                             |

*\* If the production landscape does not have a name, provide a brief descriptive name for the landscape.*

*\*\*Do not count the same hectares more than once. For example, if 500 hectares were strengthened due to certification in the first year, and 200 of these same 500 hectares were strengthened due to new harvesting regulations in the second year, the total number of hectares strengthened to date would be 500.*

*\*\*\* Indicate the latitude and longitude of the center of the site, to the extent possible, or send a map or shapefile to CEPF. Give geographic coordinates in decimal degrees; latitudes in the Southern Hemisphere and longitudes in the Western Hemisphere should be denoted with a*

*minus sign (example: Latitude 38.123456 Longitude: -77.123456). To obtain the latitude and longitude of your production landscape, use googlemap, right click on the center of your production landscape, and select "What's here?", and copy the latitude and longitude appearing in the popup window.*

### 17. Benefits to Communities

CEPF wants to record the non-cash benefits received by communities, which can differ to those received by individuals because the benefits are available to a group. CEPF also wants to record, to the extent possible, the number of people within each community who are benefiting. Please report on the characteristics of the communities, the type of benefits that have been received during the project, and the number of men/boys and women/girls from these communities that have benefited, as a result of your project. If exact numbers are not known, please provide an estimate.

Please provide information for all communities that have benefited from project start to project completion.

| Name of Community | Community Characteristics (mark with x) |                     |                            |                                |                 |                   |        | Country of Community | Type of Benefit (mark with x)   |                         |                            |  |  |                      |   | # of Beneficiaries  |                                       |                              |                                 |     |
|-------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|----------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|
|                   | Small landowners                        | Subsistence economy | Indigenous/ ethnic peoples | Pastoralists / nomadic peoples | Recent migrants | Urban communities | Other* |                      | Increased access to clean water | Increased food security | Increased access to energy | Increased access to public services (e.g. health, education) | Increased resilience to climate change | Improved land tenure | Improved recognition of traditional knowledge | Improved representation and decision-making in governance forums/structures | Improved access to ecosystem services | # of men and boys benefiting | # of women and girls benefiting |     |
| Iko-esai          | x                                       | x                   |                            |                                |                 |                   |        | Nigeria              |                                 |                         |                            |  |  |                      |   |   | x                                     |                              | 500                             | 200 |
| Iko-Ekperem       | x                                       | x                   |                            |                                |                 |                   |        |                      |                                 |                         |                            |  |  |                      |   |   | X                                     |                              |                                 |     |
| Owai              | x                                       | x                   |                            |                                |                 |                   |        |                      |                                 |                         |                            |  |  |                      |   |   | X                                     |                              |                                 |     |
| Ifumkpa           | x                                       | x                   |                            |                                |                 |                   |        |                      |                                 |                         |                            |  |  |                      |   |   | X                                     |                              |                                 |     |
| Agoi-Ekpo         | x                                       | x                   |                            |                                |                 |                   |        |                      |                                 |                         |                            |  |  |                      |   |   | X                                     |                              |                                 |     |
| Agoi-Ibami        | x                                       | x                   |                            |                                |                 |                   |        |                      |                                 |                         |                            |  |  |                      |   |   | X                                     |                              |                                 |     |



|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

\* If you selected "other", please give a brief description of the main topics addressed by the policy, law or regulation.

18b. For each law, policy or regulation listed above, please provide the requested information in accordance with its assigned number.

| No. | Country(s) | Date enacted/<br>amended<br>MM/DD/YYYY | Expected impact | Action that you performed to achieve<br>this change |
|-----|------------|--|-----------------|---|
| 1   |            |  |                 |   |
| 2   |            |  |                 |   |
| 3   |            |  |                 |   |

## 19. Biodiversity-friendly Practices

### Number of companies that adopt biodiversity-friendly practices

Please list any companies that have adopted biodiversity-friendly practices as a result of your project. While companies take various forms, for the purposes of CEPF, a company is defined as a for-profit business entity. A biodiversity-friendly practice is one that conserves or uses natural resources in a sustainable manner.

| No. | Name of Company | Description of biodiversity-friendly practice adopted during the project | Country(s) where the practice has been adopted by the company |
|-----|-----------------|--|---|
| 1   | Nil             |  |   |
| 2   | Nil             |  |   |
| ... |                 |  |   |

## 20. Networks & Partnerships

### Number of networks and/or partnerships created and/or strengthened

Report on any networks or partnerships between and among civil society groups and other sectors that you have created or strengthened as a result of your project. Networks/partnerships should have some lasting benefit beyond immediate project implementation. Informal networks/partnerships are acceptable. Examples of networks/partnerships include: an alliance of fisherfolk to promote sustainable fisheries practices, a network of environmental journalists, a partnership between one or more NGOs with one or more private sector partners to improve biodiversity management on private lands, or a working group focusing on reptile conservation.

Do not list the partnerships you formed with others to implement this project, unless these partnerships will continue after your project ends.

| No. | Name of Network / Partnership        | Year established | Did your project establish this Network/ Partnership? Y/N | Country(s) covered | Purpose  |
|-----|--------------------------------------|------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| 1   | EKIAO Forest Conservation Initiative | 2019             | No  | Nigeria            | A coalition organization for 14 neighbouring communities for sustainable forest management and community development |

## 21. Sustainable Financing Mechanism

List any functioning sustainable financing mechanisms created or supported by your project. Sustainable financing mechanisms generate funding for the long-term (generally five or more years). These include, but are not limited to, conservation trust funds, debt-for-nature swaps, payment for ecosystem service (PES) schemes, and other revenue, fee or tax schemes that generate long-term funding for conservation. To be included, a mechanism must be delivering funds for conservation.

### 21a. Details about the mechanism

| No. | Name of Financing Mechanism | Purpose of the Mechanism* | Date of Establishment** | Description*** | Countries |
|-----|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| 1   | Nil                         |                           |                         |                |           |
| 2   |                             |                           |                         |                |           |
| 3   |                             |                           |                         |                |           |

\*Please provide a succinct description of the mission of the mechanism.

\*\*Please indicate when the sustainable financing mechanism was officially created. If you do not know the exact date, provide a best estimate.

\*\*\*Description, such as trust fund, endowment, PES scheme, incentive scheme, etc.

### 21b. Performance of the mechanism

For each Financing Mechanism listed previously, please provide the requested information in accordance with its assigned number.

| NO. | Project intervention (mark with x) |                                 |                                       | Has the mechanism disbursed funds to conservation projects? |
|-----|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
|     | Created a mechanism                | Supported an existing mechanism | Created and supported a new mechanism |   |
| 1   |                                    |                                 |                                       |   |
| 2   |                                    |                                 |                                       |   |
| 3   |                                    |                                 |                                       |   |

## 22. Red List Species

If the project included direct conservation interventions that benefited globally threatened species (CR, EN, VU), as per the IUCN Red List, add the species below.

Examples of interventions include: preparation or implementation of a conservation action plan, captive breeding programs, species habitat protection, species monitoring, patrolling to halt wildlife trafficking, and removal of invasive species.



| Genus | Species | Common Name (Eng) | Status (VU, EN, CR or Extinct in the Wild) | Intervention | Population Trend at Site (increasing, decreasing, stable or unknown) |
|-------|---------|-------------------|--|--------------|--|
|       |         |                   |  |              |  |

**Part V. Information Sharing and CEPF Policy**

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final completion and impact reports are made available on our Web site, [www.cepf.net](http://www.cepf.net), and publicized in our e-newsletter and other communications.

Provide the contact details of your organization (organization name and generic email address) so that interested parties can request further information about your project.

**Organization Name:** Development Concern (DEVCON)

**Generic email address:** [devconnig@yahoo.com](mailto:devconnig@yahoo.com)