

Ecosystem Profile for Madagascar
Workpackage 1

Identification of important
ecosystem services for
Ecosystem **b**ased **A**daptation (EbA)



April 2022

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH	3
3	SCOPING OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES IN CONSIDERATION	4
4	KBAS INCLUDED IN THE UPDATE	5
4.1.	KBAs identified during 2014 exercise	5
4.2.	New KBAs identified after 2014 : KBA freshWater	5
4.3	KBAs- AZE (Alliance Zero Extinction)	9
4.4.	final list of KBAs For Madagascar	10
5	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (ES) IDENTIFICATION	11
5.1	Original List of Ecosystem Services	11
5.2.	Update Of The Ecosystem Services List	12
5.3	Ecosystem services considered important from literature review and experts consultation	15
5.3.1.	CONCEPT FOR DEFINING PRIORITY ECOSYSTEM SERVICES	15
5.3.2.	EXPERTS CONSULTATION	15
5.3.3.	LESSONS FROM THE LITERATURE REVIEWS AND CLIMATE CHANGE POLICY DOCUMENTS	19
6	ECOSYSTEM SERVICES AND KBAs RANKING	25
6.1	Standardization of ecosystem services	26
6.2	Parameters aggregation in KBAs	26
6.3	Importance according to Ecosystem-based Adaptation (EbA)	27
7	RESULTS	28
7.1.	TOP 25 KBAs	28
7.2 .	Priority ecosystem services	33
	Fisheries	33
	Agriculture	35
	Fuelwood and non-timber forest product	36
	Coastal protection	37
	Freshwater for irrigation	38
8	CONCLUSION	39
9	BIBLIOGRAPHY	40

List of figures

Figure 1 : Process flow of the update to the ecosystem profile	4
Figure 2: The 212 KBAs used during the 2014 analysis.....	6
Figure 3: The 23 new KBAs freshwater identified in 2018.....	7
Figure 4. Overview of the overlap between the existing and newly identified KBAs.....	8
Figure 5. Alliance for Zero extension (AZE) in Madagascar	10
Figure 6. All KBA sites combined.....	11
Figure 7. Importance des risques occasionnés par le changement climatique sur le secteur « Biodiversité et Foresterie » et par région (note : Région en blanc : manque de données)-Adapted from PNA 2021.....	20
Figure 8 : Process according to determine the rank of ecosystem services.....	26
Figure 9 : Multi-Criteria result, and importance of KBAs based on ES important for EbA	32
Figure 10 : Fish catch from freshwater and coastal ecosystem (sources: Fedele et al., 2021)	34
Figure 11 : Area cultivated with all commodities (Source : Neugarten et al., 2016).....	35
Figure 12 : Fuelwood collection (Sources: Fedele et al., 2021)	36
Figure 13 : Coastal protection offered by mangroves and reefs (Sources: Fedele et al., 2021).....	37
Figure 14 : Freshwater availability for irrigation (Source : Neugarten et al., 2016)	38

List of tables

Table 1: AZE sites in Madagascar	9
Table 2: Conservation in AZE sites in Madagascar.....	9
Table 3: List of ecosystem services used in the pilot KBA+ analysis.....	12
Table 4: Data listing used for the 2021 update, from Nature's Contributions to Humans (NCP) (Source: Fedele et al., 2021).	13
Table 5: Lists of Ecosystem Services used for analysis.....	14
Table 6: Five priority ecosystem services	17
Table 7: Responses of various ecosystems to climate hazards in Madagascan-Adapted from the report	19
Table 8: Various feasible climate responses and existing adaptation options to address key representative risks of climate change on terrestrial and ocean ecosystems. Adapted from the feasibility tables in the report.....	22
Table 9. : Ecosystem services scoring for multi-criteria analysis	27
Table 11. : Top 25 KBAs with their scores based on their contribution to EbA.....	29
Table 12. Important ecosystem services with scores and rank	32
Table 15: List of identified Ecosystem Services and their classification	32

1 INTRODUCTION

The latest CEPF 2015-2020 funding program in the Madagascar and IOI Hotspot region was based on the Ecosystem Profile developed by Conservation International in 2014. Given the significant changes to the political context, capacity of civil society, social and economic trends as well as threats to biodiversity, including the COVID-19 pandemic that prevail in the Hotspot, it is **essential to update the Ecosystem Profile**. In addition, the update needs to consider the CEPF's Green Climate Fund (GCF) program, entitled Ecosystem-based Adaptation in the Indian Ocean which is focused on reducing the vulnerability of island populations by securing the critical ecosystem services they need to be resilient to climate change.

The updated Ecosystem Profile and the CEPF investment strategy will consequently inform the investment priorities under the GCF program; emphasizing the Ecosystem-based Adaptation (EbA) actions that will enhance the climate resilience of local communities and focusing on areas where CEPF investment in civil society can make the biggest contribution to current investments in biodiversity conservation. CEPF intends to achieve this goal by harnessing the capabilities of Civil Society and Organisations to implement ecosystem-based adaptation (EbA) activities in the Hotspot with the Union of the Comoros, Republic of Madagascar, Republic of Mauritius, and Republic of the Seychelles as the target countries

In this context, the Ecosystem profile will be used to identify and prioritize ecosystem-based adaptation (EbA) actions by civil society organizations in the four target countries. To this end, Conservation International Madagascar is mandated by CEPF to carry out this update with local partners acting in consortium.

This Ecosystem Profile Update was designed out through three workpackages as described below:

- Workpackage 1: Identification of Important Ecosystem services and areas for EbAs
- Workpackage 2: Stakeholders consultations to set priorities for CEPF in EbAs
- Workpackage 3: Draft an update Ecosystem Profile

The current report is a draft deliverable of Work package 1 for the country of Madagascar.

2 METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

The methodological approach integrates a literature review on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services thematic to complement the available datasets, a stakeholder consultation through visits and experts' meetings and a desktop analysis which is a GIS analyses using existing global and national data sets for mapping of Ecosystem services: KBA tables and maps are developed in this way.

Since the aim of the WP1 is to develop the Ecosystem-based Adaptation (EbA) activities in the Hotspot, a list of 5-10 essential Ecosystem Services (ES) is developed, then they are overlaid with KBAs. The identified Ecosystem services will be **prioritized** according to the importance of their contribution to the resilience of human populations to climate change using a multi-criteria analysis approach. The results of this analysis are presented as a set of **maps**.

The KBA+ method for identifying Ecosystem services important to KBAs described by Neurgaten et al in 2016 is adopted. However, the analysis requires an update of ES in terms of datasets to be used and in terms of relevance to the identification of EbA areas in the funding program without redoing the entire identification process.

This method has been validated by CEPF through orientation meetings held in September-October 2021.

As a reminder, the KBA+ methodology includes seven steps:

- 1) Scoping of key Ecosystem Service values in and around the KBAs,
- 2) Develop a narrative description of service values,
- 3) Identify criteria for evaluating important areas,
- 4) Apply criteria to identify and map important areas in and around KBAs,
- 5) Summarize the Ecosystem service values for the KBAs,
- 6) Evaluate and refine the results,
- 7) Formulate recommendations and incorporate them into the CEPF profile.

A summary of the profile update process is given in the figure 1 below.




Figure 1 : Process flow of the update to the ecosystem profile

The process focused on taking the list of existing KBAs prior to the preparation of the report and updating the variables (Ecosystem Services) already in use. The updating of these data has consisted of collecting data from partners and stakeholders, as well as obtaining the different variables and the final list of KBAs.

3 SCOPING OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES IN CONSIDERATION

According to the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment definition (MEA, 2003), Ecosystem Services are the benefits that people receive from ecosystems. These include supply services such as food and water; regulating services like flood and disease control; cultural services including spiritual,

recreational, and cultural benefits; and supporting services, such as nutrient cycling, that maintain the life conditions on Earth.

The Ecosystem Services classification has been revised to version 5.1 (Haines-Young and Potschin, 2018). Following the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES), Ecosystem services can be grouped into three sections: provisioning services, regulating, and maintaining services, and cultural (and worship) services. The fourth service defined by the MEA has been included in the regulation and maintenance services.

- Provisioning services concern all the benefits that humans could obtain from nature. In addition to food, we could mention clean water, timber, firewood, natural gases, oils, plants transformed into clothing and other materials, and medical uses as provision benefits.
- Regulation and maintenance services are the benefits generated by processes that moderate or mitigate natural processes. Some examples of regulating benefits are: pollination, erosion and flood control, water purification, carbon sequestration and climate regulation. For this classification version, support services are included in this section. Supporting services are the underlying processes that make the ecosystem function, such as photosynthesis, nutrient cycling, soil formation and the water cycle.
- Cultural (and worship) services are non-material services that contribute to cultural development and thus include the development of ideas, music, creativity, but also recreation.

This last classification provides the framework for the analyses for the actual update of the Ecosystem Profil

4 KBAS INCLUDED IN THE UPDATE

The CEPF scope of work specifies that if time and resources permit, new KBAs identified after the 2014 profiling exercise could be added, based upon data in the [World Database of KBAs](#), but no identification of new KBAs or revisions of boundaries should be undertaken as part of the profile update. Therefore, the only sites that were added to the analysis were the newly identified 23 sites by IUCN. In the end, there are 235 KBAs total of which the 212 original KBAs.

4.1. KBAS IDENTIFIED DURING 2014 EXERCISE

These are the 212 KBAs identified during the 2014 analysis (MDG1—MDG 212) reported without any modification (Figure 2).

4.2. NEW KBAS IDENTIFIED AFTER 2014 : KBA FRESHWATER


Methods for identifying KBAs used to vary from institution/organisation to another. This result in disparity of conditions and quality of identified KBAs, making difficult the assessment of the objectivity, transparency and rigour in the identification. Fortunately, IUCN developed a standard for identification of KBAs (IUCN, 2016).

The first application of this standard in Madagascar was carried out on Freshwater Ecosystems in Madagascar in 2018 under the guidance of the IUCN Freshwater Department with local and

international expert partners. The exercise resulted in the identification of 23 new freshwater KBAs important for river, lake and wetlands systems (Figure 3). Most of them are found within the North-western freshwater ecoregion, and the Eastern highlands of Madagascar.



Figure 2: The 212 KBAs used during the 2014 analysis



Figure

3: The 23 new KBAs freshwater identified in 2018

An overlay analysis of these new KBAs with the 212 existing KBAs clarified their spatial and legal relationship (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Overview of the overlap between the existing and newly identified KBAs

- a. Only three freshwater KBAs (3) do not touch or overlap with the existing ones, these are: Mahajilo river, Ikopa lakes and Upper Kitsamby River.

To these three KBAs could be added some whose delimitation could be imprecise and that we could perhaps qualify them as new:

- Mahavavy Delta,
 - Southern upper Lokoho River,
 - Amboaboa Catchment,
 - Lower Anove,
 - Mahajanga Coastal zone.
- b. In the opposite direction, 53 old KBAs intersect with the new delineated KBAs. This is because a new KBA could encompass several old KBAs within its boundary.

4.3 KBAs- AZE (ALLIANCE ZERO EXTINCTION)

Initiated in 2005 by conservation organizations, AZE is an initiative at the global level now totalling more than 98 members and aimed at identifying and protecting sites that are the only ones where **some endangered species can be found**. The conservation of these irreplaceable sites requires the adoption of policies whose objectives are to integrate the conservation of AZE sites into national conservation strategies aligned with the objectives of the CBD, as well as the policies of the international financial institutions. In 2010, at the global level, 587 sites had been identified in relation to 920 species considered, including 21 sites for 28 species in Madagascar.

Madagascar currently has 55 confirmed AZE sites (map below) and 13 candidate sites (where AZE site status has been proposed through the project consultations, typically in relation to taxa not comprehensively evaluated). All these sites are already recognised as being of conservation interest, and most have had management responsibility clarified, in many cases by delegation of management from the Government to other organisations, typically NGOs. The table 1 shows that among these already confirmed AZE sites, two sites have partial protection (only a part included in protected areas) and seven (7) do not even have a manager. These sites are threatened by logging, mining, oil, and national development projects.

Table 1: AZE Management status in Madagascar

Sites	Site Name	With Managers	Without Managers
Candidate	13	6	7
Confirmed	55	48	7
Total	68	54	14

The table 2 shows the number of sites with on-site conservation actions.

Table 2: AZE conservation status in Madagascar.

Sites	Site Name	With Conservation action	Without Conservation action
Candidate	13	6	7
Confirmed	55	48	7
Total	68	54	14

Of the candidate sites, six already have protection status and conservation actions are underway. On the other hand, it is of concern that 14 sites (so-called 'Orphan sites'), including seven confirmed AZE

sites, have no agreed managing authority and no conservation action is being carried out; in addition, parts of some key sites are unprotected.

In 2018, conservation actions were initiated and developed at the demonstration sites, the one in Madagascar being Tsitongambarika forest.

During the actual Update of the Ecosystem Profile for Madagascar, AZE sites have been analysed separately from other KBAs. According to available data 57 KBA-AZE will be analysed; 10 among them are identified as freshwater KBAs in 2018.




Figure 5. Alliance for Zero extension (AZE) in Madagascar

4.4. FINAL LIST OF KBAS FOR MADAGASCAR

Consortium internal discussion decided not to consider the overlaps between old KBAs and the newly freshwater KBAs and treat the old KBAs separately from the delineated wetland KBAs. The Ecosystem

services of the aquatic KBAs may be different from those of the legacy KBAs. This is another reason for treating them separately.

Finally, the update of the Ecosystem Profile of Madagascar considers 235 KBA (Figure 6) (Annexe 1)




Figure 6. All KBA sites combined

5 ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (ES) IDENTIFICATION

5.1 ORIGINAL LIST OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

This analysis first considers the Ecosystem services used during the establishment KBA+ pilot study (Neugarten et al., 2014). Spatial data contains many ES and each ES could be split into several layers.

For example, the carbon stock layer was separated into: (1) area with carbon stock greater than 42TCO₂e/ha, (2) area with carbon stock less than 42TCO₂e/ha, and (3) both areas combined. These different layers will be referred to as ES assessment or identification parameters. The Table 3 indicates 20 Ecosystem services list that have been used in KBA+ pilot exercise.

Table 3: List of ecosystem services used in the pilot KBA+ analysis

Station	Division	Ecosystem Service
Provisioning	Nutrition	Fish
		Bushmeat
		Edible plant
		Medicinal plants
		Water flows for domestic use
		Water flows for irrigation
	Materials	Construction materials (wood, thatch)
		Materials for artisanal products (wood, sedges)
		Water flows for mining
	Energy	Fuelwood
Charcoal		
Water flows for hydropower		
Regulation & Maintenance	Mediation of waste, toxics and other nuisances	Water quality for household use
		Water quality for irrigation
		Water quality for hydropower
	Mediation of flows	Flood regulation
		Drought regulation
	Maintenance of physical, chemical, biological conditions	Carbon storage and sequestration
		Protection from cyclones
Cultural	Physical and intellectual interactions with ecosystems and land-/seascapes	Ecotourism
		Existence value (biodiversity)
	Spiritual, symbolic and other interactions with ecosystems and land-/seascapes	Cultural and spiritual identity

5.2. UPDATE OF THE ECOSYSTEM SERVICES LIST

Most of these ecosystem services were updated during the implementation of the Natural Capital measurement tools conducted by Conservation International (MacKinnon et al., 2015) and the analysis of ecosystem services in priority biodiversity areas (Neugarten et al., 2016). Other more relevant data are also available. A new list of 15 ecosystem services was used by Fedele et al. (2021) for the assessment of the population's dependence on nature (Table 4). Of the 15 ecosystem services, three (03) will serve as an update to replace the equivalent data for the year 2016 (KBA+)

Table 4: Data listing used for the 2021 update, from Nature's Contributions to Humans (NCP) (Source: Fedele et al., 2021).

n.	NCP	Description
1	Coastal protection	Coastal protection (the version we developed for Metrics is probably better)
2	Flood mitigation	Flood mitigation
3	Flood mitigation 50km	Flood mitigation, with the effect 50km downstream counted
4	Freshwater fish	Freshwaterfish - riverine fish catch
5	Fuelwood	Fuelwood
6	Grazing	Grazing
7	Nitrogen fixation	Nitrogen 50km - this is the ability of natural habitats to retain nitrogen from, for example, fertilizer (an indicator of water quality provided by ecosystems)
8	Nitrogen fixation 500km downstream	Nitrogen_500km - this is another version, using a different distance to "attenuate" the service downstream, does the service travel 500km instead of 50km above
9	Pollination	Pollination
10	Reef tourism	Reef tourism - this is an estimate of the dollar value provided by coral reefs for tourism
11	Sediment retention (50km)	Sediment 50km - this is the ability of natural habitats to retain sediment (erosion control, also an indicator of water quality provided by ecosystems)
12	Sediment retention (500km)	Sediment 500km - another version of the above map, using a 500km distance instead of a 50km distance
13	Timber for commercial use	Timber extraction for commercial use
14	Timber for domestic use	Timber extraction for domestical use
15	Vulnerable carbon	Vulnerable carbon, as published by Conservation International

After considering the new data, the final lists of ecosystem services used in the analysis is in the table 5. They are presented according to the updated classification of Ecosystem Services by CICES v. 5.1

Table 5: Lists of Ecosystem Services used for analysis

Section	Division	Group	Class	ES / parameter for evaluation of ES	
Cultural	Direct, in-situ and outdoor interactions with living systems that depend on presence in the environmental setting	Physical and experiential interactions with natural environment	Characteristics of living systems that enable activities promoting health, recuperation or enjoyment through passive or observational interactions	Reef and coastal ecotourism	
				Tourism in Protected area	
Provision	Biomass	Cultivated terrestrial plants for nutrition, materials or energy	Cultivated Terrestrial plants for grown for nutritional purposes	Agriculture, all commodities combined	
			Wild animals (terrestrial and aquatic) used for nutritional purposes	Fish	
		Wild animals (terrestrial and aquatic) for nutrition, materials, or energy	Wild plants (terrestrial and aquatic, including fungi, algae) used as a source of energy	Fuelwood	
			Fibres and other materials from wild plants for direct use or processing (excluding genetic materials)	Non timber forest product	
				Fodder production for livestock	
		Water	Surface water used for nutrition, materials or energy	Surface water used as a material (non-drinking purposes)	Timber for commercial use
					Timber for non commercial use/domestic use
	Surface water used for nutrition, materials or energy		Freshwater for rice production		
		Regulation of baseline flows and extreme events	Control of erosion rates	Sediment at retention	
				Hydrological cycle and water flow regulation (Including flood control, and coastal protection)	Flood mitigation
Storm protection			Coastal protection by Coral reef		
			Coastal protection by Mangroves		
Lifecycle maintenance, habitat and gene pool protection		Pollination (or 'gamete' dispersal in a marine context)	Crop pollination		
Maintenance of physical, chemical, abiotic conditions		Maintenance and regulation by inorganic natural chemical and physical processes	Nitrogen fixation		

5.3 ECOSYSTEM SERVICES CONSIDERED IMPORTANT FROM LITERATURE REVIEW AND EXPERTS CONSULTATION

5.3.1. CONCEPT FOR DEFINING PRIORITY ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

As a reminder, the objective of the new CEPF funding program is to "reduce the vulnerability of island populations by ensuring the essential ecosystem services they need to be resilient to climate change. In this context, the ecosystem profile will be used to identify and prioritize ecosystem-based adaptation actions (*EbA*)»

- The prioritization process integrates a reflection on each ES in terms of:
 - Resilience appropriation: indeed, the vulnerability of the ecosystems that are sources of the ecosystem services considered must also be assessed.
 - Eligibility for ecosystem-based adaptation actions.
- This reflection went beyond "mechanical ratings" as the opinions of experts and different categories of stakeholders coupled with the results of relevant literature reviews were considered.
 - The multifunctional approach would be the ideal
 - The interdependence between the different ES should drive the reflection: the balance between the three ecosystems functions should be carefully assessed: if priorities are given to the Supply function, there could be an implicit consequence on the Regulation function
 - The definition of priority ES should consider some underlying issues such as urbanization at the the detriment of the natural ecosystem, migration which could be considered as adaptation but becomes a threat to the resilience of ecosystem services.

5.3.2. EXPERTS CONSULTATION

- Expert advice in the person of Rachel Neugarten involved in the ES assessment exercise in 2014 prioritizes the following 10 ES for resilience provision and vulnerability reduction:
 - ✓ Carbon : total, vulnerable and irreplaceable Carbons
 - ✓ Sedimentary deposits
 - ✓ Water Quality
 - ✓ Pollination services to crops
 - ✓ Grazing (global model)
 - ✓ Fuelwood-Charcoal, firewood
 - ✓ Coastal protection
 - ✓ Timber production
 - ✓ Marine fisheries
 - ✓ Nitrogen retention: habitat preventing nitrogen into the stream.

The prioritization maps of these ES in relation to the KBAs are submitted to the stakeholders to know their confidence. The concept of Multiple Benefits could also be applied in this prioritization process (multicriteria evaluation)

- In addition, experts who have worked on climate change thematic on both mitigation and adaptation mechanisms have specified the five priority ES listed in Table 6. They are:
 - ✓ Energy supply,
 - ✓ Watersheds,
 - ✓ Water,
 - ✓ Non-ligneous forest products.
 - ✓ And ecotourism

Table 6: Five priority ecosystem services

Ecosystem Services (ES)	Notes / Remarks	Solutions
PRIORITY 1: ENERGY SUPPLY	<p>Madagascar is not yet ready to do without THE FOREST (1)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> The problem is that if the forest outside the SAPM is removed, logging could affect the PAs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A few supporting figures: <p>Charcoal need of Antananarivo city: 230 000T/year. Nowadays, the Eucalyptus plantations are old and within 10 years will not be able to ensure this production anymore, however the demand for charcoal continues to increase.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wood requirement: for Madagascar 25,000,000 m³ /year in firewood and construction wood. The energy needs on its own is 20,000,000 T/year: energy supply to be prioritized. <p>Although the alternatives with improved stoves have been widely popularized in recent years, the appropriation by rural and urban populations is not yet acquired.</p> What are the effects of climate change on woody biomass? Is there a decrease? <p>The National Reforestation Plan currently being validated recommends for the year 2021 a reforestation of 70,000 ha to ensure the following national needs: 25% COS, 46% Energy, 17% Watershed protection and erosion, 4% Restoration, 8% remaining Agroforestry.</p> 	<p>Promote multi-use species (fodder, wood, energy) to be favoured in restorations (afforestation, reforestation, and ecological restoration)</p> <p>However: knowledge not yet developed on this plant group.</p>
PRIORITY 2 : SOURCE WATER PROTECTION	<p>WATER is a VALUE to which great importance must be given if it is commonly considered as a free INEXHAUSTIBLE RESOURCE (for consumption, drinking water, hydroelectricity, and irrigated crops). This period of free consideration must be changed.</p>	<p>Ecosystems and the services that can respond to them must be prioritized: forest/mountain ecosystems, catchments, freshwater ecosystems (especially supply and regulation services)</p>
PRIORITY 3: CATCHMENT AREAS AND THEIR ECOSYSTEMS	<p>Catchments are currently in an accelerated state of degradation</p>	<p>Catchment Restoration</p>
PRIORITY 4: POLLINATION	<p>HONEY: Underestimated economic potential for Madagascar. The ecological aspect of this product, which is pollination, is relegated to the second plan. By extension, the pollination ES on which several crops in Madagascar depend Issues: how to valorise this ES for economic and ecological measures?</p>	<p>Importance of forest ecosystems in the preservation (shelter) of crop entomofauna biodiversity.</p>
PRIORITY 5: NON-TIMBER FOREST PRODUCTS	<p>A large of uses-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cosmetic/essential oils (e.g. Longozo is very much in demand for industry cosmetic) - Picking : <i>Centella</i> pp, <i>Drosera</i> spp - Intercropping 	<p>Restoration could prioritize these PNLF. But the preliminary invasion study should be considered</p>
PRIORITY 6 : ECOTOURISM	<p>Autonomy is acquired gradually and profitability is only perceptible after 10 years.</p>	

5.3.3. LESSONS FROM THE LITERATURE REVIEWS AND CLIMATE CHANGE POLICY DOCUMENTS

REPORT ON BIODIVERSITY AND ECOSYSTEM SERVICES FOR AFRICA (SOURCE: IPBES, 2018)

The assessment concludes that Africa's biodiversity and nature's contributions are economically, socially, and culturally important, essential to providing the continent with food, water, energy, health and secure livelihoods, and represent a strategic asset for sustainable development and the achievement of the Sustainable Development Goals.

The report states that Africa, including Madagascar, has the potential to manage its biodiversity in a way that contributes to international efforts to mitigate and, more importantly, adapt to observed and projected climate change impacts, including the frequency and intensity of extreme events, through

- ✓ Improved reforestation efforts, restoration of degraded ecosystems, extension of appropriate agricultural systems, and commitment to reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
- ✓ The expansion and effective management of terrestrial and marine protected areas and the establishment of a network of corridors that link protected environments are also critical to climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts.

PLAN NATIONAL D'ADAPTATION AU CHANGEMENT CLIMATIQUE (NATIONAL PLAN FOR ADAPATATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE OR NPA)- SOURCE MEDD, 2019

The report states that over the past decade (2011-), several specific climate change adaptation initiatives have been carried out in Madagascar.

Although funding is mostly multi-sectoral, the agriculture and forestry and biodiversity sectors are the largest recipients of support.

The vulnerable regions of southern Madagascar are home to the greatest concentration of adaptation actions, receiving 20 to 25% of the initiatives identified that directly or indirectly address climate change adaptation.

One of the strategic axes of the NAP is to finance adaptation to climate change through the implementation of a priority sectoral action program, the following of which may focus on ecosystem services.

The priority sectors are Agriculture-Livestock-Fisheries, Water Resources, Public Health, Biodiversity and Forestry, and Coastal Zones,

For the Biodiversity and Forestry sector, The Table 7 gives the responses of various ecosystems to climate hazards in Madagascar.

Table 7: Responses of various ecosystems to climate hazards in Madagascar-Adapted from the report

Climatic Hazards	Risks
Temperature increase	Degradation of biodiversity and ecosystems
Decrease in precipitation	

Climatic Hazards	Risks
Tropical Cyclones	Degradation of coral reefs and underwater coastal ecosystems
	Degradation of terrestrial ecosystems
	Increased coastal flooding affecting coastal ecosystems
Sea level rise	Marine intrusion and salination of surface and groundwater in coastal areas and destruction of salt-intolerant coastal habitats

Natural river systems, wetlands, and upstream forest ecosystems reduce flood risk by storing water and slowing water flow.

Coastal wetlands protect against coastal erosion and flooding associated with storms and sea level rise



Figure 7. Importance of risks caused by climate change on the "Biodiversity and Forestry" sector and by region (note: Region in white: lack of data)-Adapted from NPA2021.

CHALLENGE: Rapid biodiversity loss is the main threat to resources (goods and services provided by biodiversity) that are crucial to national adaptive capacities.

SOLUTIONS:

- Madagascar is rich in natural potentialities that are not yet fully exploited to build resilience to climate change; the ecosystem-based adaptation approach, which can help to better exploit them, remains to be promoted.
- In a country with a large majority of rural inhabitants on the one hand, and recognized as a global biodiversity hotspot on the other hand, the agriculture and forestry sectors are naturally at the forefront of adaptation initiatives in Madagascar

Thus, the Ministry in charge of the Environment coordinates PRIORITY ACTIONS for the adaptation of the sector which are:

- ✓ Maintain the existing forest cover and create a network of forest conservation corridors,
- ✓ Establish a large-scale restoration program for the most threatened ecosystems,
- ✓ Encourage the sustainable use of the wood resource,
- ✓ Strengthen the management of protected areas and secure land tenure in protected areas,
- Create income-generating activities that are less dependent on natural resources,
- review and strengthen the implementation of legislation and policies related to sustainability, conservation and restoration of habitats in degraded ecosystems

IMPACTS, ADAPTATION AND VULNERABILITY (SOURCE 6TH REPORT Intergovernmental Panel on ClimateC change 2022)

This report recognizes the interdependence of climate, ecosystems and biodiversity, and human societies and integrates more knowledge from natural, ecological, social and economic sciences than previous IPCC assessments.

The section dealing with "Adaptation measures and Enabling conditions" is highlighted in the following paragraphs, which may provide points of reflection in the Directions that Madagascar may adopt in terms of Adaptation using Biodiversity and Ecosystem services

Observation 1: On the current adaptations and their benefits

Progress in adaptation planning and implementation has been observed in all sectors (including ecosystem services). Many initiatives prioritize immediate, short-term climate risk reduction, which reduces opportunities for transformational adaptation

Table 8: Various feasible climate responses and existing adaptation options to address key representative risks of climate change on terrestrial and ocean ecosystems. Adapted from the feasibility tables in the report.

Representative key risks	Climate responses and adaptations options	Potential feasibility	
		Level and synergies with mitigation	Confidence level
Coastal socio-ecological systems	Coastal defense and hardening	Medium	High
	Integrated Coastal Zone management	Medium	High
Terrestrial and Ocean ecosystem service	Forest-based adaptation	High	High
	Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries	Medium	Medium
	Agroforestry Biodiversity Management and Ecosystem Connectivity	Medium	Medium
Water security	Water use efficiency and water resource management	Medium	Medium
Food security	Improved cropland management	Medium	Medium
	Efficient livestock's systems		

Various feasible climate responses and existing adaptation options to address key representative risks of climate change on terrestrial and ocean ecosystems

Observation 2: Future adaptations and their feasibility

Integrated multi-sectorial solutions that address social inequities, differentiate responses based on climate risk and cu across systems, increase feasibility and effectiveness of adaptation in multiple sectors.

Adaptation to WATER-related risks and impacts makes up the majority of all documented adaptations.

i- Improving natural water retention, for example by restoring wetlands and rivers, or managing upstream forests, can further reduce flood risk.

ii- Soil moisture conservation and irrigation are among the most common adaptation responses and provide economic, institutional, or ecological benefits and reduce vulnerability. Irrigation is effective in reducing drought risk and climate impacts in many regions and has several livelihood benefits, BUT requires appropriate management to avoid potential negative impacts, which can include accelerated depletion of groundwater and other water sources and increased soil salinization. Large-scale irrigation can also alter local to regional temperature and precipitation patterns, including both mitigating and exacerbating extreme temperatures. The effectiveness of most water-related adaptation options to reduce projected risks decreases with increasing warming.

Human food

Effective adaptation options, coupled with supportive public policies, improve food availability and stability and reduce climate risks to food systems while increasing their sustainability.

- ✓ Effective options include cultivar improvement that could utilize wild biodiversity genes, agroforestry,
- ✓ Agroecological principles and practices, ecosystem-based management of fisheries and aquaculture, and other approaches that work with natural processes support food security, nutrition, health and well-being, livelihoods and biodiversity, sustainability and ecosystem services. These services include pest control, pollination, protection from extreme temperatures, and carbon sequestration and storage.

Forests

Adaptation in natural forests includes conservation, protection, and restoration measures. In managed forests, adaptation options include:

- Sustainable forest management;
- Diversification and adjustment of tree species composition to build resilience;
- and managing increased risks from pests, diseases, and wildfire.

Restoring natural forests and improving the sustainability of managed forests generally improves the resilience of carbon stocks and sinks.

Cooperation and inclusive decision-making with local communities and indigenous peoples, as well as recognition of the inherent rights of indigenous peoples, are integral to successful forest adaptation in many areas

Conservation, protection and restoration of terrestrial, freshwater, coastal and ocean ecosystems, combined with targeted management to adapt to the inevitable impacts of climate change, reduce the vulnerability of biodiversity to climate change.

Resilience of species, biological communities, and ecosystem processes increases with the size of the natural area, through restoration of degraded areas, and through reduction of non-climatic stressors.

Adaptation options, where circumstances allow, include facilitating the movement of species to new ecologically appropriate locations, particularly by increasing connectivity between conserved or protected areas, targeted intensive management of vulnerable species, and protection of refuge areas where species can survive locally.

Observation 3: Biodiversity resilience and Ecosystem services: guaranteeing adaptation

Biodiversity and ecosystem resilience to climate change are reduced by inappropriate actions, which also limit ecosystem services. Examples of such maladaptive actions for ecosystems include fire suppression in naturally fire-adapted ecosystems or hard defences against flooding. These actions reduce space for natural processes and represent a severe form of maladaptation for the ecosystems they degrade, replace, or fragment, reducing their resilience to climate change and their ability to provide adaptive ecosystem services. Consideration of biodiversity and autonomous adaptation in long-term planning processes reduces the risk of maladaptation.

Biodiversity and ecosystem services have a limited capacity to adapt to increasing levels of global warming, which will make climate-resilient development increasingly difficult to achieve beyond 1.5°C of

warming. The consequences of current and future global warming on climate-resilient development include reduced effectiveness of EbA and ecosystem-based approaches to climate change mitigation and amplification of feedbacks to the climate system.

Safeguarding biodiversity and ecosystems is fundamental to climate-resilient development, in light of the threats they face from climate change and their role in adaptation and mitigation

Recent analyses, drawing on a range of data sources, suggest that maintaining global biodiversity resilience and ecosystem services depends on the effective and equitable conservation of approximately 30% to 50% of the Earth's land, freshwater, and ocean areas, including ecosystems currently close to nature

Build biodiversity resilience and sustain ecosystem integrity to maintain benefits for people, including livelihoods, human health and well-being, and the provision of food, fiber, and water, while contributing to disaster risk reduction and climate change adaptation and mitigation

CLIMATE CHANGE RISKS AND ADAPTATION OPTIONS FOR MADAGASCAR (SOURCE: Weiskopf et al. 2021)

Some Ecosystem Services for EBA important for marine and coastal ecosystems are transcript here. Also, key adaptation strategies for Madagascar are mentioned

Mangroves provide important ecosystem services such as protection from natural disasters, including wave attenuation during storms and provision of fuelwood and building materials. The heavy reliance on mangrove ecosystems is leading to increasing and wide-spread degradation and deforestation throughout Madagascar, with an estimated net loss of 21% between 1990 and 2010 (Rakotondrazafy et al. 2014, Benson et al. 2017, García-Ruiz et al. 2017, Rakotondravony et al. 2018).

Coral reefs

The widespread decline in warm-water corals has led to alternative restoration approaches to enhance climate resilience, such as “coral reef gardening,” and research on assisted evolution, colonization, and chimerism for reef restoration (IPCC 2019). Assisted evolution uses gene manipulation to enhance resilience to climate change and other human impacts, whereas assisted colonization involves moving species outside their historical ranges to mitigate loss of biodiversity or in anticipation of climate-induced habitat changes. Coral chimerism occurs when a coral has cells that originate from at least two sexually born individuals of the same species and is a natural tissue transplantation or fusion (Rinkevich 2019).

KEY strategies for adaptation

Activities described in the coastal section, such as mangrove and coastal reef restoration, are important adaptation strategies for fisheries.

Mangrove restoration may be an effective adaptation strategy. Recently, C3 has moved to using tree nurseries rather than direct planting so that trees are large enough to withstand strong storms when they are planted. However, more information is needed about ideal conditions and timing for restoration

activities. Identifying salt tolerant mangrove species that are more likely to survive as sea levels rise and water becomes more saline may also be an effective approach

Ecosystems that are already degraded from non-climate stressors are less resilient to a changing climate. Therefore, increasing enforcement of protected areas, maintaining the integrity of intact forests, promoting restoration of additional habitats, and addressing underlying causes of deforestation are key adaptation strategies for Madagascar (Busch et al. 2012, Morelli et al. 2020). Preventing forest loss and degradation is cheaper and more effective than restoring forests after they have been destroyed, although reforestation will likely still be needed to conserve some species (Busch et al. 2012). Protecting corridors to allow species to shift their distributions as the climate changes will be particularly important (Kremen et al. 2008, Busch et al. 2012). Conservation International is planting native species in core protected areas but working with communities on agroforestry in the buffer areas (CI interview). However, changing behavior and species preferences in communities can be challenging and inhibit adoption (Commune Ambalavao visit), therefore more effort is needed to communicate the benefits of native species with local communities.

6 ECOSYSTEM SERVICES AND KBAs RANKING

The following steps are adopted to determine the rank of ecosystem services according to their importance in producing population benefits:

- Standardization of ecosystem services;
- Aggregation of Ecosystem services in KBAs ;
- Aggregation of Ecosystem services according to the importance assigned by experts and stakeholders (after stakeholders' consultation).

The process can be schematized as in the figure 8 below:




Figure 8: Process according to determine the rank of ecosystem services

6.1 STANDARDIZATION OF ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

Different sources of data and formats are used to compile the data. Data normalization is necessary before being able to analyze them and to make meaningful comparison. Two normalizations were performed with the data:

- Normalization by percentage or relative abundance: each of the data will be reclassified to evaluate their relative importance, and thus the parameter value would be divided by the maximum value. A value between 0 and 1 will be obtained.
- Normalization by presence/absence: each ecosystem services will be reclassified in binary form: 0 if it is absent, and 1 if it is present.

Regarding format differences, all shapefiles are converted to raster, with a resolution of 1km, and the spatial reference system UTM zone 38 South (WGS84 UTM 38S). The existing rasters will also be resampled to have the same characteristics.

6.2 PARAMETERS AGGREGATION IN KBAS

The ecosystem services are overlaid with the KBA boundaries to infer by addition the importance of each ecosystem services, based on their importance in the KBAs. The process results in a table containing in

KBAs in columns and ecosystem services in the rows. The rows total would indicate the importance of each ecosystem services and the columns total, the importance of the KBAs.

6.3 IMPORTANCE ACCORDING TO ECOSYSTEM-BASED ADAPTATION (EBA)

During the first aggregations, each ecosystem service is evaluated according to its presence/absence and or abundance relative to the KBAs. While this technique indicates the relative importance of ES, experts scoring will provide balance and highlights the most important ecosystem services for the EbA. The following scoring is the one used by Neugarten et al. (2014) and already prioritizes important ecosystem services for EbA (Table 9). In this implementation, a small modification could be made to the weighting after stakeholder consultation. During the multicriteria analysis, each individual ES scores is multiplied by the weight according to the table 9 below to obtain the weighted scores. The weighted scores are then added together to obtain the final ES scores, and where the ES rank is based on.

Table 9. Ecosystem services scoring for multi-criteria analysis

Criteria	Weight
Ecosystem service 1 : Provisioning	40
Division 1.1. Provisioning for food	15
Division 1.2. Freshwater	10
Division 1.3. Materials	10
Division 1.4. Energy	5
Ecosystem service 2 : Regulating services	40
Division 2.1. Climate change adaptation and mitigation of risks (maintainance of Physical, chemical and biological conditions)	25
Division 2.2. Climate change mitigation (regulation of ecosystem functions)	15
Ecosystem service 3 : Cultural services	20
Division 3.1. Physical and intellectual interaction with ecosystem services and marine/terrestrial landscapes	15
Division 3.2. Spiritual or symbolic interaction with Ecosystem services and terrestrial or marine landscape	5
TOTAL	100

In addition, spatial weighting of KBAs was implemented by superimposition with vulnerability of ES to climate change and adaptation capacity to climate change. The vulnerability to climate change and the

adaptation potential to climate change stressors has been derived from a study realised by OMS (2008) as seen on Figure 9.




Figure 9 : Vulnerability and adaptation potential from climate change. Source : OMS, 2018

7 RESULTS

7.1. TOP 25 KBAs

The transformation of ES value into proportion coupled with the application of weighting as specified in Table 9, followed by stakeholder's appreciation, result into the identification of 30 top ranking KBAs that contributes the most to EbAs (cf Table 11). As the main objectives of the process is to find areas where EbA activities can be implemented, there are a few lists of KBAs that had made to the top of the list but was removed:

- KBAs that has no manager, project partner or any institutional infrastructure to support the implementation of EbA activities, those are
 - o Rivière Mangoky
 - o Lac Itasy
 - o Mahatsara (Mahambo Foulpointe)

- Rivière Ivoloïna
 - Nord Pangalane
 - Zones humides Mahevanana-Ambato-Boeni
 - Ankafina (Ambohimahasoa)
 - Rivière Mananjary
 - Station Forestière Angavokely
 - Zones humides Ambila-Lemaintso
 - Forêt Saint Augustin
- KBAs that the ecosystem services has been degraded beyond recovery under reasonable effort, the one that made the top of the list in this category is PK 32 Ranobe

The map in figure 10 gives the results of the multicriteria analysis in terms of important KBAs for EbAs. Looking generally at the Rank of each KBA, KBAs in the western coast accumulates more ES than in the East part of the Islands (always note that ES that are important for EbA were selected for the analysis). This could be explained by harsher climate in the South and West in comparison to the East.

Table 10. Top 30 KBAs with their scores based on their contribution to EbA

KBA ID#	National Name	MCA Scores	Rank
MDG-199	Rivières Mangoro-Rianila	4.75	1
MDG-110	Forêt Sahafina (Anivorano-Brickaville)	4.18	2
MDG-097	Corridor Forestier Analamay-Mantadia	3.43	3
MDG-131	Zones humides Nosivolo	3.29	4
MDG-066	Amoron'i Onilahy et Rivière Onilahy	3.17	5
MDG-098	Corridor Forestier Fandriana Marolambo	3.11	6
MDG-094	Corridor Ambositra Vondrozo (COFAV)	3.11	7
MDG-179	Reserve spécial Mangerivola	2.88	8
MDG-164	Reserve Naturelle Integrale Betampona	2.80	9
MDG-095	Zahamena-Ankeniheny SAPM	2.79	10
MDG-027	Belalanda	2.61	11
MDG-154	Parc National Zombitse-Vohibasia	2.58	12
MDG-011	Tsinjoriake-Andatabo	2.52	13
MDG-128	Vohibe Ambalabe (Vatomandry)	2.48	14
MDG-089	Lac Complexe Delta Ihotry-Mangoky	2.43	15
MDG-072	Analavelona	2.42	16
MDG-152	Parc National Ranomafana	2.41	17
MDG-217	Faraony Headwaters	2.37	18
MDG-056	Makay	2.21	19
MDG-070	Analalava Foulpointe	2.20	20
MDG-106	Forêt classée Vohibola	2.17	21
MDG-091	Complex forestier Mangoky-Ankazoabo	2.14	22
MDG-045	Grand récif de Toliary	2.02	23
MDG-200	Rivière Namorona-Faraony	2.01	24

MDG-088	Complexe forestier Mahafaly Plateau	1.97	25
MDG-033	Complexe de trois baies	1.97	26
MDG-175	Reserve SpecialBeza-Mahafaly	1.97	27
MDG-187	Reserve spécial Pic d'Ivohibe	1.95	28
MDG-053	Lac Tseny	1.97	29
MDG-121	Massif Manjakatempo-Ankaratra	1.95	30




Figure 10 : Multi-Criteria result, and importance of KBAs based on ES important for EbA. Low (0-1), Medium (1-2), High (2-3), Very high (3+)

The Ecosystem services scores are evaluated according to their contribution (presence and intensity) inside the KBAs network. Table 12 shows the rank for each ecosystem service, after the removal of few non important for EbAs : Carbon stock and their related parameters, distance from population and the resources, and aggregation of the ES of the same nature, for example, agriculture has been merged into one (all commodities) from each individual product (production of rice, cassava, coffee, corn, ...)

Commented [JT1]: Is this map based upon the *weighted* scores (i.e. the same data as in Table 11)? It should be. It would be very helpful to explain, either in the legend of the map or in a note below the map, what ranges of weighted scores corresponded to each category. E.g. "Very High = KBAs with a weighted score > 3.0"

Commented [AR2R1]: Yes, but We still updated with the new calculation of the value, scale added in the caption

Table 11. Important ecosystem services with scores and rank

Ecosystem services	Scores	Rank
Fish production	225.8	1
Agriculture (all commodities)	83.7	2
Fuelwood	38.9	3
Coastal protection by Coral reef	33.0	4
Fodder production for livestock	32.5	5
Non timber forest product	28.9	6
Coastal protection by Mangroves	27.0	7
Freshwater for irrigation	24.6	8
Flood mitigation	19.6	9
Nitrogen fixation	9.5	10
Timber for non-commercial use/domestic use	9.4	11
Reef and coastal ecotourism	9.3	12
Crop pollination	9.1	13
Sedimentation	3.5	14
Tourism in Protected area	2.4	15

Table 12: List of identified Ecosystem Services and their classification

Section	Division	Group	Class	Services
Provision	Biomass	Cultivated terrestrial plants for nutrition, materials or energy	Cultivated Terrestrial plants for grown for nutritional purposes	Agriculture
		Wild animals (terrestrial and aquatic) for nutrition, materials or energy	Wild animals (terrestrial and aquatic) used for nutritional purposes	Fisheries
		Wild plants (terrestrial and aquatic) for nutrition, materials or energy	Fibres and other materials from wild plants for direct use or processing (excluding genetic materials)	Grazing
				Non timber forest product
				Timber
Wild plants (terrestrial and aquatic, including fungi, algae) used as a source of energy	Wild plants (terrestrial and aquatic, including fungi, algae) used as a source of energy	Fuelwood		

Section	Division	Group	Class	Services
	Water	Surface water used for nutrition, materials or energy	Surface water used as a material (non-drinking purposes)	Freshwater
Regulation and maintenance	Regulation of physical, biological condition	Lifecycle maintenance, habitat and gene pool protection	Pollination (or 'gamete' dispersal in a marine context)	Pollination
		Maintenance of physical, chemical, abiotic conditions	Maintenance and regulation by inorganic natural chemical and physical processes	Nitrogen fixation
		Regulation of baseline flows and extreme events	Characteristics of living systems that enable activities promoting health, recuperation or enjoyment through passive or observational interactions	Coastal protection
			Control of erosion rates	Sediment retention
				Flood mitigation
Cultural	Direct, in-situ and outdoor interactions with living systems that depend on presence in the environmental setting	Physical and experiential interactions with natural environment	Characteristics of living systems that enable activities promoting health, recuperation or enjoyment through passive or observational interactions	Ecotourism

7.2 . PRIORITY ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

Fisheries

Fisheries constitute a huge part of the population income, up to 70% of total income for (Gough et al, 2020) for person living near the coast. Also, fish may constitute up to 80% of the protein intake (Mihari, 2022). Fisheries therefore are identified as of the most important ecosystem services rural population, and the Government as well as many conservation NGOs are promoting responsible fisheries as a response and adaptation to the effect of climate change.

Data on fisheries are very sparse for the moment, and the reported annual catch may only represent 50% of the actual value (Andriamahanoro, 2009). So far, the most accurate estimation of catch are the data from Le Manach (2012). Small scale fisheries can be observed in KBAs that have lakes and rivers such as Alaotra lake, Ikopa lakes, Maevatanana-Ambatoboeni wetlands, Bombetoka/Beleboka Bay and Marovoay wetlands (Betsiboka-Tsiribihina rivers), Lake Ihotry-Mangoky Delta complex, Saint Augustin Forest, and Upper Mananara river (Figure 9)

Fuelwood and non-timber forest product

The national need for fuelwood is estimated at around 18 million cubic meters per year (MEH, 2018) which is almost two times the production capacity of the country (9 million cubic meters). This makes the fuelwood a very important services the ecosystem provides, and very important for the adaptation to climate change. Fuelwood collection is important mostly in the eastern part of Madagascar. The KBAs that are mostly affected are Lokobe Integral reserve, Ankarabolava-Agnakatriky, river Antainambalana-Andranofotsy (Antalaha), River Ankavia-Ankavanana (Antalaha), Manjakatempo-Ankaratra massif, Angavokely and Ampahona, Ankafina (Ambohimahasoa). Most of these KBAs are at the edge of a natural forest or in-between natural forest block.




Figure 13 : Fuelwood collection (Sources: Fedele et al., 2021)

Coastal protection

Reefs and Mangroves are the ecosystems that provides protection of Madagascar from the extreme weather event and climate change. Mangroves are mostly found in the western Madagascar and covering a total area of 250.000 hectares (Shapiro et al, 2018). Mangrove forest is a little fragile and its area has decreased steadily from 2000 (Shapiro et al., 2018). However, Mangrove restoration is very much encouraged by local NGO (Blueventures, WWF) and the government. For the coastal protection by mangroves, all mangroves within 2km of the coastline. KBAs having high value of coastal protection are Three Bays complex, Mahajanga Coastal Zone, Nosy Be Island Group, Nosy Varika, Nosy Be and Satellites Islands (Nosy Tanihely), Sainte Marie Island (Ambohidena), Tolagnaro. The KBAs in the eastern coast mostly have higher value from reef protection, except for Ambodivahibe bay which exceptionally have mangroves.




Figure 14 : Coastal protection offered by mangroves and reefs (Sources: Fedele et al., 2021)

Freshwater for irrigation

Freshwater ecosystems are some of the most diverse environments in the world (Dayton, 2019). It comprises water surface, rivers, lakes, streams, and underground water. Identified ecosystem services for Madagascar are freshwater for irrigation (agriculture), freshwater for drinking, and water for generation of energy (electricity). The importance of the Freshwater ecosystem is demonstrated by the identification of new KBAs by IUCN (Maiz-Tome et al., 2018). Freshwater for irrigation are important in Eastern Madagascar (figure 13), and the KBAs that has been identified as providing the most services are Corridor Anjozorobe Angavo-Tsinjoarivo, Lac Tsarasaotra, Mandraka, Anjozorobe, Antoetra Ampadirana (Fohisokina), Forêt classée Zafimaniry, Station Forestière Angavokely. In the South of Madagascar where the climate is dry and water is scarce, importance of freshwater is on the low side.




Figure 15 Freshwater availability for irrigation (Source : Neugarten et al., 2016)

8 CONCLUSION

For Madagascar, the Workpackage 1, which consists of identifying important ecosystem services and areas for EbA, was based on 235 KBAs, 212 of which were old and 23 new. The latter representing the freshwater ecosystem were identified from the IUCN new standard KBAs in 2016.

The methodological approach used in the pilot KBA exercise in 2014 has been carried over into this update.

Literature reviews, expert consultations and desktop analysis highlighted 15 ES that could be prioritized for Madagascar to respond to climate change hazards and risks.

These represent different terrestrial, marine and coastal ecosystems and six were prioritized for climate change adaptation in Madagascar, noting agriculture, fisheries, non-timber forest products, fuelwood, freshwater for irrigation and coastal protection.

Literature reviews suggested to include in key strategy for adaptation the following:

- ✓ Improved reforestation efforts, restoration of degraded ecosystems, extension of appropriate agricultural systems, and commitment to reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
- ✓ The expansion and effective management of terrestrial and marine protected areas and the establishment of a network of corridors that link protected environments are also critical to climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts.

Through the National Plan for Adaptation on Climate Change, the Ministry in charge of the Environment prioritise the following actions that could inform the development of the Investment Priorities of the CEPF Funding Program:

- ✓ Maintain the existing forest cover and create a network of forest conservation corridors,
- ✓ Establish a large-scale restoration program for the most threatened ecosystems,
- ✓ Encourage the sustainable use of the wood resource,
- ✓ Strengthen the management of protected areas and secure land tenure in protected areas

Finally, KBAs maps and associated data on ES for EbAs should be validated at national level.

9 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Communication sur l'Adaptation de Madagascar à la Convention-Cadre des Nations Unies sur le Changement Climatique (AdCom de Madagascar) VERSION « PRÉ-FINALE » 20 Février 2022.
- Fedele, G. *et al.* (2021) 'Nature-dependent people: Mapping human direct use of nature for basic needs across the tropics', *Global Environmental Change*. Elsevier Ltd, 71, p. 102368. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102368.
- Gough CLA, Dewar KM, Godley BJ, Zafindranosy E and Broderick AC (2020) Evidence of Overfishing in Small-Scale Fisheries in Madagascar. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7:317. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2020.00317
- Haines-Young, R. and Potschin, M. (2018) 'CICES V5. 1. Guidance on the Application of the Revised Structure', *Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES)*, (January), p. 53. Available at: <https://cices.eu/resources/>.
- Dayton, G.H. 2008. Water Availability, In *Encyclopedia of Ecology*, edited by Sven Erik Jørgensen and Brian D. Fath, Academic Press, Oxford, 2008, pp. 3718–3723.
- Integrating EbA into Madagascar's national Adaptation Plan. Workshop summary and Results. 2017. Conservation International.
- IPBES (2018): The IPBES regional assessment report on biodiversity and Ecosystem services for Africa. Archer, E. Dziba, L., Mulongoy, K. J., Maoela, M. A., and Walters, M. (eds.). Secretariat of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Bonn, Germany. 492 pages. ISBN No: 978-3-947851-05-8
- Le Manach, F. *et al.* (2012a) 'Erratum to "Unreported fishing, hungry people and political turmoil: The recipe for a food security crisis in Madagascar" [Mar. Policy 36 (1) (2012) 218-225]', *Marine Policy*, 36(2), p. 564. doi: 10.1016/j.marpol.2011.08.008.
- Máiz-Tomé, L., Sayer, C. and Darwall, W. (eds) (2018). *The status and distribution of freshwater biodiversity in Madagascar and the Indian Ocean islands hotspot*. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. viii+128pp.
- MEDD. NOVEMBRE 2019. PLAN NATIONAL D'ADAPTATION (PNA) MADAGASCAR.
- MEH, 2018. Stratégie nationale d'approvisionnement en bois-énergie (SNABE). Ministère de l'Énergie et des Hydrocarbures, Madagascar, 19 p.
- MIHARI, 2022. Stratégie 2022-2026. MIHARI, Madagascar, 18p.
- Mckinnon, M. *et al.* (2015) 'Monitoring Natural Capital and Human Well-being in Madagascar', (June).
- Millenium Ecosystem Assessment (2003) *Ecosystems and Human Well-being. A framework for assessment*.
- Neugarten, R. *et al.* (2014) *KBA + Assessing the ecosEystem service values of Key Biodiversity Areas*.
- Neugarten, R. A. *et al.* (2016) 'Rapid Assessment of Ecosystem Service Co-Benefits of Biodiversity Priority Areas in Madagascar', *Plos One*, 11(12), p. e0168575. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0168575.

- Revised Structure', Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES), (January), p. 53. Available at: <https://cices.eu/resources/>.
- Shapiro, A. et al. (2019) Les Mangroves De Madagascar – Condition Et Évolution 2000-2018.
- Sixieme Rapport Intergouvernemental Panel on Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Summary for Policy makers. WG II Sixth Assessment report. 35 pages GIEC
- Weiskopf, S. R., J. A. Cushing, T. Morelli, and B. J. E. Myers. 2021. Ecology and Society 26(4):36. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-12816-260436> Climate change risks and adaptation options for Madagascar
- World Bank. 2021. The Changing Wealth of Nations 2021 : Managing Assets for the Future. Washington, DC: World Bank. © World Bank. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/36400> License: CC BY 3.0 IGO

ANNEXE 1. List of KBAs with ES weighed value, multicriteria analysis and rank

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-001	Mikea Forest	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	3.00	3.00	0.19	1.73	52	
MDG-002	Ambalimbe Menabe	0.03	0.03	-	0.00	0.08	0.01	0.01	0.01	-	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.21	1.89	46	
MDG-003	Ambanitamana (Antsiranana)	0.02	0.01	-	0.01	0.00	-	-	0.05	-	-	0.00	0.05	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.16	0.62	148	
MDG-004	Ambato-Boeny	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	-	-	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.26	1.58	60	
MDG-005	Ambatofinandrahana	0.04	0.05	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.15	0.58	152	
MDG-006	Ambereny (Tsimembo)	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.03	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	4.00	2.00	0.07	0.56	158	
MDG-007	Ambondrobe (Vohemar)	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.03	0.00	-	-	0.00	0.03	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.13	0.51	166	
MDG-008	Ambodivahibe Bay	0.00	0.05	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	-	-	0.00	-	0.02	2.00	3.00	0.11	0.68	143	
MDG-009	Salary Bay	0.10	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.03	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	-	-	3.00	3.00	0.14	1.29	76	
MDG-010	Nosy Ve Androka	0.10	-	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	3.00	3.00	0.10	0.94	104	
MDG-011	Tsinjoriake-Andatabo	0.10	0.01	-	0.00	0.08	-	0.01	-	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	3.00	3.00	0.28	2.48	22	
MDG-012	Velondriake	0.10	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.01	3.00	3.00	0.15	1.35	70	
MDG-013	Barren Islands	0.01	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.00	2.00	0.01	0.11	232	
MDG-014	Iranja-Ankazoberavina-Russes bays	0.02	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.01	3.00	2.00	0.09	0.53	161	
MDG-015	Mitsio Archipel	0.00	0.04	-	0.00	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	2.00	3.00	0.07	0.41	195	
MDG-016	Ambompofofa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	234	
MDG-017	Andravory (Andrafaikona)	0.02	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.08	0.33	217	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-018	Anena (Beloha)	0.06	0.01	-	0.01	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.13	0.51	165	
MDG-019	Angodoka-Ambakoa (Besalampy)	0.01	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	-	4.00	2.00	0.06	0.50	168	
MDG-020	Ankafina (Ambohimahasoa)	0.05	0.04	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.25	-	2.00	3.00	0.42	2.54	20	
MDG-021	Ankaraobolava-Agnakatriky	0.07	0.09	-	0.05	0.02	-	0.04	-	-	0.03	0.00	0.10	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.40	1.58	59	
MDG-022	North Antanifotsy (Diana)	0.00	0.04	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.04	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.14	0.85	115	
MDG-023	South Antanifotsy (Diana)	0.00	0.04	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.07	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.12	0.72	138	
MDG-024	Antogil Bay	0.05	0.05	-	0.00	0.02	-	0.00	0.03	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	2.00	1.00	0.18	0.36	204	
MDG-025	Diego Bay	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	2.00	3.00	0.09	0.52	162	
MDG-026	Beamingaratsy (Midongy du Sud-Andohahela Corridor)	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.00	-	0.05	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.20	0.40	199	
MDG-027	Belalanda	0.10	0.10	-	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.29	2.58	18	
MDG-028	Bobakindro (Salafaina)	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.06	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.15	0.58	153	
MDG-029	Cap d'Ambre	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.11	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	2.00	3.00	0.15	0.93	105	
MDG-030	Cape St. Andre	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	4.00	2.00	0.06	0.45	185	
MDG-031	Mahajamba Anjajavy complex Bay	0.02	0.03	-	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.12	0.74	137	
MDG-032	Rigny Complex Bay (Antsiranana)	0.00	0.04	-	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.02	2.00	3.00	0.12	0.75	134	
MDG-033	Three Bays complex	0.00	0.07	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	-	0.10	-	0.00	0.01	-	0.12	2.00	3.00	0.33	1.97	38	
MDG-034	Anjozorobe Angavo-Tsinjoarivo Corridor	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.00	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.34	1.35	71	
MDG-035	East coast of Antsiranana	0.02	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.04	0.18	229	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-036	Antalaha-Mahavelona coast	0.02	0.04	-	0.00	0.01	-	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.38	202	
MDG-037	Lokaro, Cap Antsirabe, Baie de Gallions, Cap Malaimpioka, littoral Cap Sainte Marie coast	0.04	0.07	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	0.12	2.00	1.00	0.24	0.49	172	
MDG-038	Mananjary coast	0.12	0.04	-	0.00	-	-	0.01	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	-	-	3.00	2.00	0.18	1.05	91	
MDG-039	Efatsy (Farafangana)	0.07	0.04	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.05	-	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	-	-	4.00	1.00	0.20	0.79	123	
MDG-040	Fanambana (Voehemar)	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	-	0.07	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.22	0.89	110	
MDG-041	Mangoky River	0.06	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.13	0.02	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.35	3.12	6	
MDG-042	Onive Classified Forest	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	-	0.07	0.00	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.22	0.88	111	
MDG-043	Bidia-Bezavona Classified Forest	0.05	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	0.04	0.00	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.16	0.32	218	
MDG-044	Saint Augustin Forest	0.10	0.01	-	0.01	0.04	-	0.01	-	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.22	1.96	42	
MDG-045	Grand Reef Toliary	0.10	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.08	0.01	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	3.00	3.00	0.23	2.06	34	
MDG-046	Sainte Marie Island (Ambohidena)	0.05	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.01	-	0.04	-	0.00	-	-	0.08	2.00	1.00	0.21	0.42	192	
MDG-047	Ilevika (Matsaborilava)	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.02	-	0.03	-	-	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.14	0.84	119	
MDG-048	West Itampolo Mahafaly	0.10	0.00	-	-	-	0.09	-	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.20	1.79	49	
MDG-049	Lake and river Andranomalaza (Maromandia)	-	-	-	-	0.02	-	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	3.00	2.00	0.02	0.10	233	
MDG-050	Lake Andrapongy and Anjingo River	0.02	0.05	-	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.16	0.98	96	
MDG-051	Lake Itasy	0.05	0.10	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	4.00	0.26	3.09	9	
MDG-052	Tsarasaotra Lake	0.05	-	0.10	-	0.13	0.10	-	-	-	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.01	-	1.00	4.00	0.45	1.82	48	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-053	Lake Tseny	0.02	0.09	-	0.01	0.15	-	0.02	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.33	1.97	41	
MDG-054	Lakes Anony and Erombo	0.04	0.07	-	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.02	-	-	-	0.00	0.01	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.22	0.44	188	
MDG-055	Mahatsara (Mahambo Foulpointe)	0.06	0.05	-	0.02	0.08	0.01	0.03	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	3.00	4.00	0.25	3.05	10	
MDG-056	Makay	0.10	0.07	-	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.03	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.25	2.21	28	
MDG-057	Mandraka	0.03	0.04	0.10	0.02	0.00	-	0.07	-	-	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.31	1.23	77	
MDG-058	Nankinana (Ambodibonara-Masomeloka)	0.07	0.05	-	0.01	-	-	0.01	-	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	3.00	4.00	0.15	1.84	47	
MDG-059	Allee de Baobab	0.03	0.06	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	3.00	3.00	0.11	0.97	98	
MDG-060	Ambakoana/Analabe	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.01	0.00	-	0.03	-	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.22	0.86	113	
MDG-061	Ambatofotsy (Anosibe An'Ala)	0.02	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.04	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.13	0.51	167	
MDG-062	Ambatotsirongorongo	0.04	0.03	-	0.03	-	-	0.02	-	-	-	0.00	0.05	-	-	2.00	1.00	0.16	0.33	215	
MDG-063	Ambohidray	0.02	0.08	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02	-	1.00	4.00	0.18	0.71	139	
MDG-064	Ambohipiraka	0.00	0.03	-	0.02	0.01	-	0.02	-	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.13	0.75	128	
MDG-065	Ambondrombe (Belo sur Tsiribihina)	0.03	0.03	-	0.00	0.04	-	-	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.11	0.97	99	
MDG-066	Amoron'i Onilahy et Onilahy River	0.10	0.09	-	0.01	0.05	0.07	0.01	-	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.35	3.17	5	
MDG-067	Ampanganandehibe-Beasina (Andilanatoby)	0.02	0.03	-	0.01	-	0.02	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.11	0.44	186	
MDG-068	Ampasindava/Rigny Bay (Est)	0.00	0.02	-	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03	2.00	3.00	0.15	0.93	106	
MDG-069	Anadabolava-Betsimalaho NPA (Anosy)	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	-	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.17	0.34	210	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-070	Analalava Foulpointe	0.07	0.04	-	0.02	-	-	0.05	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	4.00	0.18	2.20	29	
MDG-071	Analalava-Analabe-Betanantanana (Ambatosoratra)	0.02	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	-	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.12	0.47	180	
MDG-072	Analavelona	0.10	0.01	0.09	0.00	-	0.01	0.03	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.27	2.41	25	
MDG-073	Andrafiarena	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.14	0.85	114	
MDG-074	Andreba	0.05	0.04	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.01	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	2.00	1.00	0.11	0.21	227	
MDG-075	Angavo Androy	0.06	0.01	0.08	0.01	-	-	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.19	0.78	127	
MDG-076	Anjozorobe	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.01	0.00	-	0.04	-	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.25	1.00	94	
MDG-077	Ankafoke	0.05	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.10	0.42	191	
MDG-078	Ankeniheny-Lakato Future SAPM	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	-	0.08	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.23	0.91	108	
MDG-079	Ankodida (Anosy Future SAPM)	0.04	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.02	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.10	0.19	228	
MDG-080	Ankorabe (Antadonkomby)	0.02	0.04	-	-	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	4.00	0.10	0.40	198	
MDG-081	Antoetra Ampadirana (Fohisokina)	0.04	0.05	0.10	0.01	0.00	-	0.07	-	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.30	1.19	79	
MDG-082	Antrema	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.03	2.00	3.00	0.07	0.41	197	
MDG-083	Cap Anorontany Archipel	0.00	0.02	-	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.00	3.00	0.02	0.14	231	
MDG-084	Bombetoka/Belemboka Bay and Marovoay wetlands (Betsiboka-Tsiribihina rivers)	0.01	0.04	-	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.16	0.98	97	
MDG-085	Beanka	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	2.00	0.06	0.48	174	
MDG-086	Bemanevika (Ankaizina wetlands)	0.02	0.05	-	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.06	-	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.19	1.16	82	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-087	Ifotaky Complex Future SAPM	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.04	-	-	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.16	0.31	222	
MDG-088	Mahafaly Plateau forest complex	0.10	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.22	2.01	37	
MDG-089	Lake Ihotry-Mangoky Delta complex	0.08	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.27	2.42	24	
MDG-090	Makirovana-Ambatobiribiry-Anjombolava-Tsihomanambo Complex	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	-	0.02	0.01	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.14	0.57	155	
MDG-091	Complex Mangoky-Ankazoabo Forest	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.24	2.14	32	
MDG-092	Manambolomaty Wetland Complex and Tsimembo Classified Forest/Bemamba wetland	0.01	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	-	4.00	2.00	0.07	0.56	156	
MDG-093	Vohipaho complex	0.07	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.01	-	0.02	0.03	-	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.27	1.09	87	
MDG-094	Ambositra Vondrozo Corridor	0.09	0.04	0.09	0.01	0.00	-	0.07	0.00	-	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.35	3.11	8	
MDG-095	Zahamena-Ankeniheny SAPM	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.00	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	4.00	0.23	2.79	14	
MDG-096	Menabe-Antimena/corridor Kirindy-Ambadira/Upper Tsiribihana and Tsiribihana	0.03	0.01	-	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.08	0.75	133	
MDG-097	Analamay-Mantadia Corridor	0.07	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.15	-	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	4.00	0.29	3.43	3	
MDG-098	Fandriana Marolambo Corridor	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	3.00	4.00	0.26	3.11	7	
MDG-099	Tsaratana-Marajejy Future SAPM	0.02	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.11	0.44	187	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-100	Cratere de Nosy Be (Lac Mont Passot)	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	-	-	-	0.06	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.15	2.00	3.00	0.26	1.58	61	
MDG-101	Daraina-Loky Manambato SAPM	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.16	0.63	146	
MDG-102	Fierenana	0.02	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.05	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.02	-	1.00	4.00	0.21	0.83	120	
MDG-103	Andavakoera Classified Forest	0.00	0.04	-	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.14	0.85	116	
MDG-104	Bongolava Classified Forest (Marosely)	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.14	0.83	121	
MDG-105	Manombo Classified Forest	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.24	0.96	101	
MDG-106	Vohibola Classified Forest	0.07	0.05	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.04	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	3.00	4.00	0.18	2.17	31	
MDG-107	Vondrozo Classified Forest and surrounding areas	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.23	0.92	107	
MDG-108	Zafimaniry Classified Forest	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.01	0.00	-	0.11	-	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.36	1.43	65	
MDG-109	Menarandra Forest/Vohidefo	0.10	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.18	1.64	56	
MDG-110	Sahafina Forest (Anivorano-Brickaville)	0.07	0.03	-	0.01	0.03	-	0.09	-	-	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.06	-	3.00	4.00	0.35	4.18	2	
MDG-111	Ibity Future SAPM	0.05	0.04	-	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	2.00	3.00	0.18	1.09	86	
MDG-112	Itremo Vakinakaratra Future SAPM	0.04	0.01	-	0.00	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.08	0.33	214	
MDG-113	Kianjavato	0.12	0.06	-	0.02	0.01	-	0.07	-	-	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.32	1.90	45	
MDG-114	Lake Alaotra	0.02	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.01	-	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	-	1.00	4.00	0.24	0.94	103	
MDG-115	Lake Sahaka/Analabe	0.02	0.10	-	-	0.01	0.02	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.07	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.22	0.89	109	
MDG-116	Mahabo-Mananivo	0.07	0.05	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.04	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.02	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.20	0.79	125	
MDG-117	Mahialambo	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.01	-	-	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.14	0.56	157	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-118	Mandena	0.04	0.04	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.07	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	2.00	1.00	0.18	0.36	206	
MDG-119	Mangabe-Ranomena-Sasarotra	0.02	0.03	0.09	0.00	0.01	-	0.08	-	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.26	1.03	93	
MDG-120	Manjakatampo-Ankaratra Massif	0.05	0.04	0.09	0.04	0.00	-	0.04	-	-	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.33	1.95	43	
MDG-121	Montagne des Francais	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.06	-	-	-	0.00	0.01	-	-	2.00	3.00	0.11	0.66	144	
MDG-122	Oronjia Forest	0.00	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	-	0.08	2.00	3.00	0.16	0.95	102	
MDG-123	PK32-Ranobe	0.10	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	3.00	3.00	0.31	2.78	15	
MDG-124	Pointe ĀLarree	0.05	0.02	-	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.06	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	2.00	1.00	0.16	0.32	221	
MDG-125	Sainte Luce/Ambato Atsinanana	0.04	0.04	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.12	0.24	224	
MDG-126	Seven Lakes	0.10	0.01	-	0.01	0.05	-	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.21	1.91	44	
MDG-127	Tampolo	0.05	0.06	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.01	-	-	2.00	1.00	0.17	0.35	209	
MDG-128	Vohibe Ambalabe (Vatomandry)	0.07	0.06	-	0.01	-	-	0.04	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	4.00	0.20	2.43	23	
MDG-129	Mahavavy Kinkony Future SAPM Wetlands	0.01	0.04	-	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.12	0.75	135	
MDG-130	Maevatanana-Ambato-Boeni Wetlands	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.12	0.03	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.28	2.55	19	
MDG-131	Nosivolo Wetland	0.07	0.06	-	0.02	0.01	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	-	3.00	4.00	0.27	3.29	4	
MDG-132	Port Berge Wetlands	0.02	0.05	-	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.02	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.23	1.40	67	
MDG-133	Nosy Foty	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	3.00	-	-	235	
MDG-134	Sahamalaza Bay Wetlands	0.02	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.08	0.47	178	
MDG-135	Nosy Varika	0.12	0.04	-	0.02	0.01	-	0.02	-	0.05	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.27	1.63	57	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-136	North Pangalane	0.07	0.09	-	0.00	0.01	-	0.02	-	-	0.03	0.00	0.00	-	-	3.00	4.00	0.22	2.63	16	
MDG-137	Andohahela National Park - Parcel I	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.17	0.34	212	
MDG-138	Andohahela National Park - Parcel II	0.04	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.05	-	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.16	0.33	216	
MDG-139	Andringitra National Park	0.02	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	-	3.00	3.00	0.15	1.39	68	
MDG-140	Ankarafantsika Strict Nature Reserve, National Park, and Ampijoroa Forestry Station	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.00	-	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.19	1.17	81	
MDG-141	Kirindy Mite National Park and surrounding areas	0.03	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.06	0.58	154	
MDG-142	Baie de Baly National Park	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.05	0.30	223	
MDG-143	Mananara-North National Park	0.05	0.04	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.07	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.21	0.42	193	
MDG-144	Mantadia National Park and Analamazaotra Special Reserve	0.02	0.04	-	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.15	-	-	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	1.00	4.00	0.28	1.13	83	
MDG-145	Marojeiy National Park	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	-	-	0.02	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	2.00	2.00	0.12	0.47	176	
MDG-146	Masoala National Park	0.03	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	2.00	2.00	0.09	0.38	203	
MDG-147	Masoala National Park - Section II	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	0.03	-	-	0.00	0.01	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.39	201	
MDG-148	Masoala National Park - Section III	0.02	0.03	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.10	0.39	200	
MDG-149	Midongy Sud National Park	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.00	0.00	-	0.05	0.00	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.29	1.17	80	
MDG-150	Nosy Mitsio National Park	0.00	0.06	-	0.00	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	-	-	0.02	2.00	3.00	0.08	0.49	171	
MDG-151	Nosy Be and Satellites Islands (Nosy Tanihely)	0.00	0.02	-	0.02	-	-	0.00	0.06	0.05	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.13	2.00	3.00	0.28	1.69	54	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-152	Ranomafana National Park	0.11	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.00	-	0.14	0.00	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	3.00	2.00	0.39	2.37	26	
MDG-153	Tsimanampetsotse National Park	0.10	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.17	1.53	63	
MDG-154	Zombitse-Vohibasia National Park	0.10	0.01	0.07	0.00	-	0.01	0.06	-	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.28	2.52	21	
MDG-155	Isalo National Park	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.06	0.50	170	
MDG-156	Tsingy de Namoroka National Park	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	-	0.03	0.02	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.07	0.41	196	
MDG-157	Zahamena National Park and Strict Reserve	0.04	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.12	0.23	225	
MDG-158	Tsingy de Bemaraha National Park and Strict Nature Reserve	0.01	0.02	-	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	2.00	0.06	0.51	164	
MDG-159	Montagne d'Ambre National Park and Special Reserve	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.07	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.13	0.75	131	
MDG-160	Ambre Forest	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.07	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.13	0.75	132	
MDG-161	Torotorofotsy Wetlands	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02	-	-	0.09	-	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.20	0.79	124	
MDG-162	Makira	0.05	0.03	-	0.00	0.01	0.04	-	0.01	-	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.16	0.32	219	
MDG-163	Anja community Reserve	0.05	0.07	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.03	-	-	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.22	1.32	72	
MDG-164	Betampona Strict Nature Reserve	0.07	0.05	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.09	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	4.00	0.23	2.80	13	
MDG-165	Lokobe Strict Nature Reserve	0.00	0.01	-	0.05	-	-	-	0.15	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	2.00	3.00	0.22	1.31	74	
MDG-166	Tsaratanana Strict Nature Reserve and adjacent areas	0.00	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.07	0.45	184	
MDG-167	Ambatovaky Special Reserve	0.05	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.04	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.16	0.32	220	
MDG-168	Ambohijanahary Special Reserve	0.02	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.07	0.66	145	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-169	Ambohitantely Special Reserve	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.00	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.12	0.48	173	
MDG-170	Analamera Special Reserve	0.01	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.10	0.62	149	
MDG-171	Andranomena Special Reserve	0.03	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.01	-	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.05	0.48	175		
MDG-172	Anjanaharibe Sud-Marojejy Future SAPM	0.02	0.06	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.01	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	2.00	2.00	0.15	0.60	150		
MDG-173	Ankarana Special Reserve	0.00	0.04	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.06	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.14	0.84	118		
MDG-174	Bemarivo Special Reserve	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	4.00	2.00	0.04	0.34	211		
MDG-175	Beza-Mahafaly Special Reserve	0.10	0.02	-	0.01	0.03	-	0.03	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.22	1.97	39		
MDG-176	Bora Special Reserve	0.02	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	0.04	-	0.01	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.11	0.68	142		
MDG-177	Kalambatritra Special Reserve	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.00	3.00	0.17	1.50	64		
MDG-178	Kasijy Special Reserve	0.00	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.05	0.43	190		
MDG-179	Mangerivola Special Reserve	0.07	0.06	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.07	0.00	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	3.00	4.00	0.24	2.88	12		
MDG-180	Maningoza Special Reserve	0.01	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	4.00	2.00	0.07	0.54	159		
MDG-181	Manombo Special Reserve	0.07	0.03	-	0.02	0.00	-	0.06	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.19	0.74	136		
MDG-182	Manongarivo Special Reserve	0.01	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	3.00	0.07	0.41	194		
MDG-183	Marotandrano Special Reserve	0.02	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.00	3.00	2.00	0.06	0.34	213		
MDG-184	Nosy Mangabe Special Reserve	0.05	0.03	-	0.00	0.03	-	-	0.06	-	-	-	-	-	2.00	1.00	0.17	0.35	208		
MDG-185	Tampoketsa-Analamaitso Special Reserve	0.02	0.01	-	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	3.00	2.00	0.09	0.51	163		
MDG-186	Cap St Marie Special Reserve	0.06	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	1.00	0.12	0.47	179		

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-187	Pic d'Ivohibe Special Reserve	0.01	0.03	-	0.00	-	0.04	0.09	-	-	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.22	1.97	40	
MDG-188	Ankavia-Ankavana River (Antalaha)	0.02	0.10	-	0.04	0.03	-	-	0.09	-	0.06	0.00	0.05	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.39	1.57	62	
MDG-189	Antainambalana-Andranofotsy River (Antalaha)	0.05	0.10	-	0.04	0.12	-	-	0.13	0.00	0.08	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.53	1.07	89	
MDG-190	Bermarivo River	0.02	0.09	-	0.03	0.08	-	0.06	-	-	0.02	0.00	0.01	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.33	1.31	75	
MDG-191	Maevarano River	0.02	0.06	-	0.01	0.01	-	0.05	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.16	0.96	100	
MDG-192	Mahanara River	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.01	-	0.08	-	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.25	1.00	95	
MDG-193	Mananjary River	0.12	0.10	-	0.03	0.04	-	0.03	-	-	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.36	2.18	30	
MDG-194	Mangarahara-Amboambo River	0.02	0.05	-	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.03	-	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.20	0.81	122	
MDG-195	Sambava River	0.02	0.07	-	-	0.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.00	2.00	0.11	0.45	183	
MDG-196	Sofia River	0.02	0.08	-	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	3.00	2.00	0.23	1.37	69	
MDG-197	Ivoloina River	0.07	0.05	-	0.02	0.01	-	0.07	-	-	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	-	3.00	4.00	0.25	3.00	11	
MDG-198	Mananara South River	0.01	0.10	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.16	1.41	66	
MDG-199	Mangoro-Rianila rivers	0.07	0.07	-	0.02	0.05	-	0.07	-	-	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.07	-	3.00	4.00	0.40	4.75	1	
MDG-200	Namorona-Faraony River	0.12	0.09	-	0.03	0.02	-	0.03	-	-	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.34	2.02	35	
MDG-201	Sahafary (Andranomena Antsiranana)	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.03	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	2.00	3.00	0.08	0.47	181	
MDG-202	Sorata	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.00	-	0.03	0.00	-	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	2.00	0.13	0.53	160	
MDG-203	Angavokely Forestry Station	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.03	-	-	0.03	-	-	0.15	0.10	0.02	0.00	-	1.00	4.00	0.53	2.13	33	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-204	Anjiamangirana Forest Station	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	0.03	-	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.13	0.75	130	
MDG-205	Tarzanville (Moramanga)	0.02	0.02	-	-	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	4.00	0.04	0.17	230		
MDG-206	Tsinjoarivo	0.05	0.03	-	0.00	0.01	0.02	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	1.00	2.00	0.11	0.21	226		
MDG-207	Tsitongambarika Classified Forest	0.04	0.04	-	0.01	0.00	-	0.05	0.01	-	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.18	0.36	205	
MDG-208	Ambavanankarana Wetland	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.11	0.63	147	
MDG-209	Ambila-Lemaitso Wetland	0.07	0.07	-	0.00	-	-	0.02	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	3.00	4.00	0.17	2.01	36	
MDG-210	Ankobohobo Wetlands	0.01	0.05	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.07	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.14	0.87	112	
MDG-211	Southwestern Coastal Wetlands and Nosy Manitse Future SAPM Marine	0.10	0.01	-	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.18	1.62	58	
MDG-212	Tambohorano Wetlands	0.01	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	4.00	2.00	0.07	0.59	151	
MDG-213	Amboaboabo Catchment	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	3.00	2.00	0.13	0.79	126	
MDG-214	Andasibe	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.11	-	-	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.01	-	1.00	4.00	0.27	1.07	88	
MDG-215	Antsiranana	0.00	0.03	-	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	2.00	3.00	0.14	0.85	117	
MDG-216	Mahajilo River	0.05	0.02	-	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.13	0.75	129	
MDG-217	Faraony Headwaters	0.12	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.00	-	0.08	0.00	-	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	-	3.00	2.00	0.38	2.26	27	
MDG-218	Ikopa Lakes	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.01	-	-	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.19	1.75	51	
MDG-219	Isalo National Park	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	3.00	0.06	0.50	169	
MDG-220	Kinkony Lake	0.01	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.03	-	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.12	0.70	141	

KBA ID	KBA Name	Provision								Regulation and maintenance					Cultural	EBA Weighting			Multicriteria analysis score (unweighted)	Multicriteria analysis score (weighted)	Rank
		Agriculture	Freshwater for Natural	Freshwater for rice	Fuelwood	Freshwater fish	Grazing	Timber for commercial	Timber for domestic use	Coastal protection	Flood protection	Nitrogen retention	Pollination	Sediment protection		Reef ecotourism	Vulnerability to Climate change	Adaptation capacity to climate change			
MDG-221	Lake Tseny Basin	0.02	0.04	-	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.00	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.18	1.10	85	
MDG-222	Lower Ankofia	0.02	0.04	-	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.18	1.10	84	
MDG-223	Lower Anove	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	0.10	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.23	0.46	182	
MDG-224	Mahajanga Coastal Zone	0.01	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	-	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	2.00	3.00	0.28	1.67	55	
MDG-225	Mahavavy Delta	0.00	0.04	-	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	-	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	-	2.00	3.00	0.17	1.05	92	
MDG-226	Manambato South	0.04	0.05	-	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.17	0.35	207	
MDG-227	Manongarivo Catchment	0.02	0.03	-	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	3.00	2.00	0.12	0.70	140	
MDG-228	Marojejy National Park	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.00	-	-	0.02	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	2.00	2.00	0.12	0.47	177	
MDG-229	Mikea National Park	0.10	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	3.00	3.00	0.19	1.73	53	
MDG-230	Nosivolo Ramsar Site	0.07	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.01	-	0.03	0.01	-	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	-	3.00	4.00	0.22	2.61	17	
MDG-231	Nosy Be Island Group	0.00	0.02	-	0.01	-	-	0.00	0.06	0.06	-	0.00	0.00	-	0.13	2.00	3.00	0.29	1.76	50	
MDG-232	Southern Upper Lokoho River	0.02	0.05	-	0.01	0.01	-	-	0.03	-	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.11	-	2.00	2.00	0.30	1.20	78	
MDG-233	Tolagnaro	0.04	0.06	-	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.06	-	0.02	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	1.00	0.22	0.44	189	
MDG-234	Upper Kitsamby River	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.01	-	-	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	2.00	3.00	0.22	1.32	73	
MDG-235	Upper Mananara river	0.06	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	-	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	-	4.00	1.00	0.27	1.06	90	