

Conservation of the Endangered Fiji Flying Fox *Mirimiri acrodonta* on Taveuni Island, Fiji

JANUARY 2011



BIODIVERSITY
CONSERVATION
LESSONS LEARNED
TECHNICAL SERIES

1

CONSERVATION
INTERNATIONAL

Pacific Islands



BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION LESSONS LEARNED TECHNICAL SERIES

1

Conservation of the Endangered Fiji Flying Fox *Mirimiri acrodonta* on Taveuni Island, Fiji

Biodiversity Conservation Lessons Learned Technical Series is published by:

Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund (CEPF) and
Conservation International Pacific Islands Program (CI-Pacific)

PO Box 2035, Apia, Samoa
T: + 685 21593
E: cipacific@conservation.org
W: www.conservation.org

Conservation International Pacific Islands Program. 2011. Biodiversity Conservation Lessons Learned Technical Series 1: Conservation of the Endangered Fiji Flying Fox *Mirimiri acrodonta* on Taveuni Island, Fiji. Conservation International, Apia, Samoa

Author: Dick Watling, Director, Fiji Flying Fox – Species Recovery Plan

Prepared by: NatureFiji-MareqetiViti, 14 Hamilton Beattie Street, Suva.

Design/Production: Joanne Aitken, The Little Design Company, www.thelittledesigncompany.com

Cover Photograph: Guy Bottroff

Series Editors: James Atherton and Leilani Duffy, Conservation International Pacific Islands Program

Conservation International is a private, non-profit organization exempt from federal income tax under section 501c(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

ISBN 978-982-9130-01-3

© 2011 Conservation International

All rights reserved.

OUR MISSION

Building upon a strong foundation of science, partnership and field demonstration, CI empowers societies to responsibly and sustainably care for nature for the well-being of humanity

This publication is available electronically from Conservation International's website:

www.conservation.org



ABOUT THE BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION LESSONS LEARNED TECHNICAL SERIES

This document is part of a technical report series on conservation projects funded by the Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund (CEPF) and the Conservation International Pacific Islands Program (CI-Pacific). The main purpose of this series is to disseminate project findings and successes to a broader audience of conservation professionals in the Pacific, along with interested members of the public and students. The reports are being prepared on an ad-hoc basis as projects are completed and written up.

In most cases the reports are composed of two parts, the first part is a detailed technical report on the project which gives details on the methodology used, the results and any recommendations. The second part is a brief project completion report written for the donor and focused on conservation impacts and lessons learned.

The CEPF fund in the Polynesia-Micronesia region was launched in September 2008 and will be active until 2013. It is being managed as a partnership between CI Pacific and CEPF. The purpose of the fund is to engage and build the capacity of non-governmental organizations to achieve terrestrial conservation. The total grant envelope is approximately US\$6 million, and focuses on three main elements: the prevention, control and eradication of invasive species in key biodiversity areas (KBAs); strengthening the conservation status and management of a prioritized set of 60 KBAs and building the awareness and participation of local leaders and community members in the implementation of threatened species recovery plans.

Since the launch of the fund, a number of calls for proposals have been completed for 14 eligible Pacific Island Countries and Territories (Samoa, Tonga, Kiribati, Fiji, Niue, Cook Islands, Palau, FSM, Marshall Islands, French Polynesia, Wallis and Futuna, Eastern Island, Pitcairn and Tokelau). By late 2010 more than 35 projects in 9 countries and territories were being funded.

The Polynesia-Micronesia Biodiversity Hotspot is one of the most threatened of Earth's 34 biodiversity hotspots, with only 21 percent of the region's original vegetation remaining in pristine condition. The Hotspot faces a large number of severe threats including invasive species, alteration or destruction of native habitat and over exploitation of natural resources. The limited land area exacerbates these threats and to date there have been more recorded bird extinctions in this Hotspot than any other. In the future climate change is likely to become a major threat especially for low lying islands and atolls which could disappear completely.

For more information on the funding criteria and how to apply for a CEPF grant please visit:


- www.cepf.net/where_we_work/regions/asia_pacific/polynesia_micronesia/Pages/default.aspx
- www.cepf.net

For more information on Conservation International's work in the Pacific please visit:

- www.conservation.org/explore/asia-pacific/pacific_islands/pages/overview.aspx

or e-mail us at cipacific@conservation.org

Location of the project in the Polynesia-Micronesia Biodiversity Hotspot



Contents

About the Biodiversity Conservation Lessons Learned Technical Series	3
Lessons Learned	5
PART 1 Conservation of the Endangered Fiji Flying Fox <i>Mirimiri acrodonta</i> on Taveuni Island, Fiji	
1 Introduction	9
1.1 Background to the Fiji Flying Fox Project	9
1.2 Project Methods and Personnel	9
2 Fiji flying fox	11
2.1 Collection and Survey Information – 1977 to 2008	11
2.2 Survey Information – 2009	11
2.3 Name and Taxonomy	11
2.4 Ecology of the Fiji Flying Fox <i>Mirimiri acrodonta</i>	12
2.5 Distinguishing the Fiji Flying Fox from other Fruit Bats	12
2.5.1 Pacific or Polynesian Flying Fox <i>Pteropus tonganus</i>	13
2.5.2 Samoan Flying Fox <i>Pteropus samoensis nawaiensis</i>	13
2.5.3 Fiji Flying Fox <i>Mirimiri acrodonta</i>	13
2.6 Distribution	15
2.7 Taveuni’s Montane Cloud Forest	15
2.8 Conservation	16
2.8.1 Status	16
2.8.2 Conservation Planning and Legislative Support	16
3 Recovery Plan	19
3.1 Is Knowledge about Species Adequate for Objectives and Actions to be Defined Accurately?	19
3.2 Recovery Objectives for the Fiji Flying Fox	19

3.3	Recovery Actions	19
3.3.1	Determine the Fiji flying fox's distribution and landscape use on Taveuni	19
3.3.2	Determine its presence or otherwise at other potential sites in Fiji	20
3.3.3	Attain a good understanding of the ecology and behaviour of the Fiji flying fox	20
3.3.4	Ensure good public awareness amongst the Taveuni island community about this unique bat	20
3.3.5	Secure full protection for the Taveuni Forest Reserve	21
	References	22
PART 2	CEPF Small Grant Final Project Completion Report	23
	Map	
	Location of the project in the Polynesia-Micronesia Biodiversity Hotspot	4
	Table	
TABLE 1:	Comparison of attributes among Fijian fruit bat species	12
	Figures	
FIGURE 1:	Fiji flying fox field research study site in cloud forest between 800-1,200 m asl at Des Voeux Peak on Taveuni	10
FIGURE 2:	Portraits of Fiji's megachiropteran bats	14
FIGURE 3:	Traits of Fiji's megachiropteran bats	14
	Abbreviations and Acronyms	
ASL	Above Sea Level	
NFMV	NatureFiji-MareqetiViti	

CONSERVATION OF THE ENDANGERED FIJI FLYING FOX *MIRIMIRI ACRODONTA* ON TAVEUNI ISLAND, FIJI

Lessons Learned

Project Design Process

Aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings.

- The outputs of the project far exceeded those that could be expected from the level of investment put into the original project. Good design enabled a researcher to be co-opted at modest cost and the project attracted significant additional funding which enabled the public awareness campaign to be significantly more comprehensive than it would have been given the original investment;
- The level of awareness amongst the land-owners and farmers of Taveuni of the island's conservation and biodiversity values was surprisingly low; on the other hand, their willingness to learn about and participate in conservation based ideas and proper land-use practices was very positive.

Project Implementation

Aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings.

- Tremendous dedication in difficult conditions provided by the field researcher;
- Utilising on-island experience and expertise brought the Provincial Council into the project as a significant partner.

Other lessons learned

relevant to the conservation community

Landowners and the general public are willing to participate in and learn about Fiji's unique biodiversity. They do not yet have the capacity to do this. Conservation based NGOs and institutions must communicate their research results – not only to the scientific community, but, more importantly, to relevant stakeholders, landowners and local residents of their research site.





1

Introduction

1.1 Background to the Fiji Flying Fox Project

This Species Recovery Plan for the Fiji flying fox *Mirimiri acrodonta* has been prepared by NatureFiji-MareqetiViti as a component of its 'Conservation of the Critically Endangered Fiji flying fox *Mirimiri acrodonta* on Taveuni Island, Fiji' project. The project was funded by the Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund (CEPF) and was undertaken between 1 January 2009 and 31 December 2009. The principal project components were:

- Fieldwork to learn about the status, ecology and behaviour of the Fiji flying fox, and how it may be distinguished in the field from the two other large flying foxes found on Taveuni (*Pteropus tonganus*, *P.samoensis*);
- To undertake a major island-wide awareness programme among Taveuni forest landowners to raise the profile of Taveuni's unique biodiversity using the Fiji flying fox as an iconic flagship species;
- Preparation of a Species Recovery Plan for the Fiji flying fox; and,
- Initiation of a conservation 'Site Support Group' for Taveuni.

The project was identified and undertaken as a component of NFMV's 2007–2112 Strategic Plan – Programme 4: Extinction risk reduced for Fiji's priority threatened species.

1.2 Project Methods and Personnel

The project was managed by Nunia Thomas, NFMV Conservation Coordinator and directed by Dick Watling NFMV Trustee-Director.

Fieldwork on the Fiji flying fox was undertaken by Ms. Annette Scanlon (Ph.D. student from the University of South Australia) and Guy Botroff. Four surveys for the Fiji flying fox were conducted during April, May–June, August, and November 2009 on Des Voeux Peak, Taveuni – the only area for which this species is recorded. The four surveys consisted of 7–10 trap nights using 3–8 mist nets, set at varying altitudes in forest and flyway areas. A total of 35 trap nights and 68,265 mist net hours were completed at and around the summit (Scanlon 2009). Waisale Mataitoga coordinated the Taveuni landowner awareness programme in conjunction with the Cakaudrove Provincial Office in Taveuni. Two rounds of open forums were conducted on Taveuni at the district level (three venues) for traditional villages and at four venues for non-traditional settlements. Nine government departments and three non-governmental organizations participated in the awareness campaign (Thomas 2009).





FIGURE 1: Fiji flying fox field research study site in cloud forest between 800–1200 m asl at Des Voeux Peak on Taveuni. Source: Scanlon 2009.

Fiji Flying Fox

2.1 Collection and Survey Information 1977–2008

The species was first described by Hill and Beckon in 1978, the holotype collected 300 m north east of Des Voeux Peak radio tower (the TFL station and access track to Des Voeux Peak were constructed in 1977). Just two individuals were trapped and collected during May and November 1977 (and subsequently deposited at the British Museum, Hill and Beckon 1978).

The species was not encountered again until an Australian Museum expedition in 1990–91 (headed by P. German). During May 1990, after 12 days with 10 mist nets in the Des Voeux Peak region, three more individuals were captured and deposited in the Australian Museum (Flannery 1995; Scanlon 2009).

A bat survey in Fiji in 2000–1 did not cover high altitude forest areas of Taveuni because of time constraints, poor weather conditions, and vehicle problems and so did not attempt to locate this species in its known habitat (Palmeirim *et al.* 2005).

Incidental observations of what were believed to be *Mirimiri acrodonta* were made by Linda Farley and Jorg Kretzchmar at c. 850 m asl on the Des Voeux Peak road in November 2002 (*in litt.* Dick Watling).

2.2 Survey Information 2009

The most thorough survey to date for the Fiji flying fox was undertaken in 2009 by Annette Scanlon with Guy Bottroff for NFMV (Scanlon 2009).

A total of 35 trap nights and 68,265 mist net hours was completed at Des Voeux Peak in 2009. Bats were captured with nylon mist nets set in flight paths and in the sub canopy. A single female *M. acrodonta* was captured on 31 May in a sub-canopy net at 5:30 am at 815 m asl. Detailed mensural and other information was gathered from this bat and a transponder implanted before it was released unharmed. See Figure 1.

2.3 Name and Taxonomy

The Fiji flying fox was formerly placed in the monkey-faced bat genus *Pteralopex* (Hill 1978) and called the Fiji monkey-faced bat (Flannery 1995). It was recently reclassified into the monotypic genus *Mirimiri* based on genetic and morphological distinctions (Helgen 2005), and the English name Fiji flying fox has since been adopted.

2.4 Ecology of the Fiji Flying Fox *Mirimiri Acrodonta*

The Fiji flying fox remains one of the most rare and poorly understood bats. Because it is so rare very little information is known of its ecology. It has been recorded as lactating in May in both 1990 and 2009; the diet is probably tough because they have highly cuspidate teeth (Flannery 1995), and they may roost in pairs in fern clumps 6–10 m from the ground on larger trees (Hill and Beckon 1978) and as observed by Farley and Kretzschmar 2004 (*in litt.* Dick Watling). This is clearly a cryptic species. In nearly 40 days and nights at Des Voeux Peak, Scanlon (2009) never saw or heard a bat which may have been this species, other than the one caught in a net (section 2.2).

2.5 Distinguishing the Fiji Flying Fox from other Fruit Bats

Distinguishing the Fiji Flying Fox from Fiji's three other fruit bats in the field is the first essential requirement to enabling distributional, ecological and behavioural data to be readily collected. Scanlon (2009) provides comparative information on the identification of the Fiji flying fox and other fruit bats in the hand and in the field (Table 1).

TABLE 1: Comparison of attributes among Fijian fruit bat species. Mass and forearm (FA) measurements (range) from adult animals captured during Taveuni surveys and from captures on Vanua Levu; measures for *M. acrodonta* supplemented from Flannery (1995). *P.t.* = *Pteropus tonganus*, *P.s.n.* = *P. samoensis nawaiensis*, *M.a.* = *Mirimiri acrodonta*, *N.m.* = *Notopteris macdonaldi*. Source: Scanlon (2009)

	<i>P. t</i>	<i>P. s.n</i>	<i>M.a</i>	<i>N.m</i>
Mass, g (maximum)	399–570	300–438	222–362	60–87
FA, mm (maximum)	141–161	126–138	120	64–70
Pelage	Dark body with distinct cream-yellow mantle	No distinct mantle; hoary appearance over whole body, some white or ginger patches on head/body	Uniform tan; ears may be obscured by thick hair	Uniform pale gray/khaki
Flight/wing shape	Wings narrower than <i>P.s.n.</i> ; with deep indentations	Wings broader than <i>P.t.</i> ; no deep indentation	Wings broad, similar to <i>P.s.n.</i>	Manoeuvrable flyers with large wing area; wings meet in midline of back; lower back is bare (hairless); tail extends past wing membrane
Habitat use/distribution	On most islands in Fiji; lowland and high altitude forest, coastal, and cultivated habitats	Many islands; predominately forest habitats (lowland and high altitude)	High altitude (>800 m) cloud forest, Taveuni only	Main islands in Fiji; sub canopy cloud and lowland forest and gardens
Other/general	Most frequently encountered bat species	Often bright red eyes contrasting against pale white head	Distinct orange (not red) eyes; no pale white head	Small blossom bat with mouse-like tail, roosts in caves

Scanlon (2009) summaries the differences between the species as follows (edited extract):

2.5.1 Pacific or Polynesian Flying Fox *Pteropus tonganus*

The Pacific or Polynesian flying fox *Pteropus tonganus* is the most common and well known bat species in Fiji. It forms large raucous colonies of many hundreds (or occasionally thousands) of individuals, including an apparently semi-permanent camp at the Garden Island Resort on Taveuni. It exploits forest and urban resources (such as cultivated fruits), and is occasionally hunted and eaten by villagers. Identifying this species in the field is relatively straightforward: it is high flying, often observed above the canopy at forested sites, and it has a distinct pale cream/yellow mantle that contrasts against dark brown/black body hair (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). This species has not been observed flying in sub-canopy areas; it is only caught in the highest mist nets (usually greater than 12 m), and then rarely are adults captured. *P. tonganus* tends to 'crash land' into vegetation from above then climb to food resources further down; hence these bats are sometimes observed feeding in sub-canopy areas. The rostrum is more elongate and the wings narrower in *P. tonganus* than in the similar-sized species, *P. s. samoensis* (Wilson and Engbring 1992, Banack 1996); however, caution should be applied when using these traits alone because wing and rostrum shape may appear to vary (e.g. with flight mode and angle of observer relative to bat). Ideally, several cues should be used to discern species confidently. Comparisons with *P. s. nawaiensis* are presented in Table 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3.

2.5.2 Samoan Flying Fox *Pteropus samoensis nawaiensis*

The Samoan flying fox *Pteropus samoensis* subspecies *nawaiensis* is similar in size to *P. tonganus*, but differs in habits and (less obviously so during field observations) in morphology. Many villagers know the difference between 'beka' and 'beka lulu' – beka lulu relates to the pale colouring in *P. s. nawaiensis*; it is understood that *P. s. nawaiensis* is less conspicuous (less gregarious, for example), more dependent on forest habitats, and has a strong odour compared to that of *P. tonganus* (Wilson and Engbring 1992, Banack 1996, and personal obs.). *P. tonganus* is favoured for eating to *P. s. nawaiensis* because it is larger and smells better (it may also be more commonly encountered and/or easier to kill with rocks/sticks). Wing shape and pelage vary between the species, and should be used with other attributes (such as habitat and vocalisations) if differentiating from far away. In Fiji *P. s. nawaiensis* is rarely encountered outside forest habitats, which contrasts to the *P. tonganus* habits of using many different environments, including urban. Notably, *P. s. nawaiensis* roosts solitarily, in pairs, or small groups (sometimes within larger camps of *P. tonganus*), and the vocalisations are less boisterous and more 'chatter-like' between a few or two individuals (Banack 1996, and personal observation). In terms of separating *P. s. nawaiensis* from *M. acrodonta*, *P. s. nawaiensis* is larger, has hoary colouration (white, ginger, brown mottling) hair, and brown-red eyes, which may contrast against a white head in some individuals; it is also many times more common than is *M. acrodonta*. The two species may occupy similar habitats, in sub-canopy areas of cloud forest on Taveuni for example. The bright orange eyes of *M. acrodonta* against the regularly-coloured tan/fawn hair on a round face are very obvious at close range.

2.5.3 Fiji Flying Fox *Mirimiri acrodonta*

Few generalisations can be made from a single capture; however, our observations were consistent with other records of the species. Obvious physical attributes include a regular tan-fawn pelage and brilliant bright orange eyes (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). The species is smaller than *P. s. nawaiensis*, but




FIGURE 2: Portraits of Fiji's megachiropteran bats: A) *P. tonganus*, B) *P. s. nawaiensis*, C) *M. acrodonta*, and D) *N. macdonaldi* (note more prominent ears and elongate rostrum on *P. tonganus* than on *P. samoensis* and *M. acrodonta*). Photos by G. Bottroff; Source Scanlon 2009.



FIGURE 3: Images showing traits of Fiji's megachiropteran bats. E) and F) *P. tonganus* in flight: E) shows deep indentations in wings, F) shows distinct cream/yellow mantle; G) *P. s. nawaiensis* in flight with broad wings and little wing indentations, the rostrum also appears smaller, H) *M. acrodonta* broad wings, little indentation flying sub canopy, and I) *N. macdonaldi* showing wings meeting at midline on back, creating a 'bare back'. Photos by G. Bottroff; Source: Scanlon 2009.

the difference is not obvious for field identification purposes. The bat was captured at about 815 m asl, which extends the range slightly for the species, but its distribution remains very restricted, and this species is unlikely to be observed elsewhere. Once released at the site of capture, the animal made short flights of a few meters from tree to tree, and eventually crawled into an epiphytic clump on a large tree (*Palaquium* species). This observation supports earlier reports of use of epiphytes by Hill and Beckon (1978), yet an inspection of the clump (>20 m from ground, accessed with abseiling by G. Bottroff) did not reveal any signs (odour, 'nesting' area, guano or ejecta) that the area was used regularly.

2.6 Distribution

The Fiji flying fox is currently known from six captured bats all from above 800 m asl around or below Des Voeux Peak on Taveuni. There is one possible observation at a lower altitude (c. 400 m) on the road leading to Des Voeux Peak. A possible record of this bat on Delaikoro, Vanua Levu by P. German (in Scanlon 2009) is regarded as unconfirmed. Thus, the Fiji flying fox has only been positively encountered in one part of the high altitude cloud forest (> 800 m asl) on the island of Taveuni. There has not, however, been any significant search for it at other locations in similar locations over 800 m asl on Taveuni (of which there is approximately 70 km²), or in lower altitude forest (of which there is approximately 100 km²).

2.7 Taveuni's Montane Cloud Forest

Taveuni's montane cloud forest occurs quite extensively on ridges along the central upland area within the Taveuni Forest Reserve. It is not known to what degree the characteristic forest descends into the Ravilevu Nature Reserve. The cloud forest is best characterised as being comprised of stunted trees with a canopy height of between 3–7 m, leaves with drip tips and stems heavily covered with bryophytes and the area having high precipitation. The area is almost always shrouded in clouds especially the ridges and mountaintops. The thick soggy layer of partially decayed organic matter on the forest floor is also a very common feature.

Ash (1987) noted that although a few species occurred in the canopy in the cloud forest as well as at lower altitudes, e.g. *Dysoxylum gillespianum*, *Macaranga seemannii* and *Syzygium* spp., most species are apparently restricted in altitudinal range. The ridge-top cloud-forest includes trees such as *Spireanthemum serratum* and *Ascarina swamyana* which are rarely observed in other habitats. Several epiphytes are similarly restricted to cloud-forests, e.g. *Medinilla waterhousei* and ferns such as *Antrophyum smithii*, *Grammitis hookeri*, *Hymenophyllum flabellatum* and *H. javanicum*. Epiphytic bryophytes were abundant at the ridge top. Climbing *Freycinetia* spp. occur at all sites, and on the upper windward slopes and ridge top *F. urvilleana* forms about 40% of the upper leaf canopy and their stems form a dense tangle. Tree canopy height and trunk diameter decline with altitude between species and it is apparent that this trend also occurs within species. The reduction in canopy height is greater than the reduction in maximum stem diameters, giving the ridgetop trees a stunted appearance.

Parris (1994) recorded 18 new fern records from Des Voeux Peak including three species new to Fiji, *Asplenium lobulatum*, *Pseudophegopteris paludosa* (a new genus for Fiji) and *Chingia longissima*.

2.8 Conservation

2.8.1 Status

The IUCN Red List categorised the Fiji flying fox as Endangered in 1994, this was subsequently upgraded to Critically Endangered in 1996. The population size is unknown but it is estimated as likely to be a few hundred individuals certainly under 1,000 (Helgen *et al.* 2008). They determine the Critically Endangered status on the basis of:

- Extent of occurrence is less than 100 km²;
- It occurs at only one location; and,
- There is a continuing decline in habitat.

Confirmed information is that the species is known from only one general location around Des Voeux Peak in montane cloud forest on Taveuni. To date it is assumed that it occurs in the remaining montane cloud forest on the island, of which there is approximately 70 km².

The Fiji flying fox has been presumed not to occur in forest below 800 m asl, though there have been no significant surveys to provide any evidence for this. Although it is stated that there is a continuing decline in habitat, there has, in fact, been no decline in the montane cloud forest habitat on Taveuni except on a limited scale in the Des Voeux Peak area to accommodate the expansion of telecommunication structures. However, there has been loss of forest and encroachment into the Taveuni Forest Reserve at lower altitudes.

2.8.2 Conservation Planning and Legislative Support

The Fiji flying fox is listed as *Pteralopex acrodonta* Taveuni flying fox in the Endangered and Protected Species Act 2002.

The Des Voeux Peak area is within the Taveuni Forest Reserve, there have been no surveys to determine if the Fiji flying fox occurs in the adjacent Ravilevu Nature Reserve.

Under the Forest Decree 1992, the management objectives of Forest Reserves clearly indicated that forest protection have equal weight to production dependent on capability. (Refer **BOX 1**).

MANAGEMENT OF FOREST RESERVES AND NATURE RESERVES

7.-(1) Forest reserves shall be managed as permanent forest in order to provide on a permanent basis the optimum combination of benefits of protection and production of which they are capable.

BOX 1: Forest Decree 1992 – Management of Forest Reserves

However, the history of Forest Reserve management to date provides no indication that Forest Reserves serve this purpose. The vast majority of Fiji's Forest Reserves have been converted to Mahogany Plantations and/or dereserved. As such the forest protection function of Forest Reserves has been largely, if not completely neglected. Given this management style and precedent of Forest Reserves, it is not surprising that other stakeholders in forest management (specifically conservation agencies, both government and non-government) have little confidence

in the designation of unique upland areas of Taveuni as a Forest Reserve. Fiji's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan identify the Taveuni Forest Reserve in combination with the adjacent Ravilevu Nature Reserve and the Bouma-Lavena Forest Park as a national priority for full protection status.

There have been no previous conservation plans for this species. Helgen *et al.* (2008) recommended "More study needed regarding threats, population size, and to assess its possible presence on Vanua Levu." Scanlon (2009) recommended "future surveys could explore more mild fringe areas of the Taveuni forests, as well as Delaikoro Peak on Vanua Levu".



Fiji Flying Fox *Mirmiri acrodonta*. Photo: Guy Bottroff

Recovery Plan

3.1 Is Knowledge about Species Adequate for Objectives and Actions to be Defined Accurately?

Very little is known about the Fiji flying fox and it is clearly insufficient to enable objectives to be drawn up other than to acquire more basic information about the species.

3.2 Recovery Objectives for the Fiji Flying Fox

The following are the immediate objectives for the Fiji Flying Fox recovery plan, they are focused primarily on determining more basic information about the bat on which conservation management measures could be drawn up:

1. Determine the Fiji flying fox's distribution and landscape use on Taveuni;
2. Determine Fiji flying fox's presence or otherwise at other potential sites in Fiji;
3. Attain a good understanding of the ecology and behaviour of the Fiji flying fox;
4. Ensure good public awareness amongst the Taveuni island community about this unique bat; and,
5. Secure full protection for the Taveuni Forest Reserve.

3.3 Recovery Actions

3.3.1 Determine the Fiji flying fox's distribution and landscape use on Taveuni

It is not yet proven that the Fiji flying fox is confined to high altitude forests on Taveuni, surveys are need elsewhere to determine its habitat needs and landscape use.

PRIORITY ACTIONS:

- Undertake mist net trapping surveys at a representative number of lower altitude (< 800 m asl) forest sites on Taveuni.
- When feasible – if and where the bat can be quite readily observed or trapped: detailed ecological observations should be made, e.g. on diet and roosts, to assess 'habitat needs'; radio/satellite-tracking for 'landscape use' – or GIS mapping

3.3.2 Determine its presence or otherwise at other potential sites in Fiji

It is possible that this cryptic bat is found at other sites in Fiji. As a first action, surveys should be planned for high altitude forests similar to the one on Taveuni where it is known from.

PRIORITY ACTIONS:

- Undertake mist net trapping surveys at Delaikoro, Vanua Levu (selected because of an unconfirmed record there by an experienced bat biologist, P. German);
- Undertake mist net trapping surveys at similar high altitude habitats on Viti Levu and elsewhere on Vanua Levu, using predictive measures from 3.3.1 e.g. habitat associations;

3.3.3 Attain a good understanding of the ecology and behaviour of the Fiji flying fox

Distinguishing the Fiji Flying Fox from Fiji's three other fruit bats in the field is the first essential requirement to enabling distributional, ecological and behavioural data to be readily collected.

PRIORITY ACTION:

- Experienced bat biologists to undertake observational surveys and mist net trapping surveys at Des Voeux Peak and any other sites where the Fiji flying fox is found.

3.3.4 Ensure good public awareness amongst the Taveuni island community about this unique bat

The support of the Taveuni community for forest habitat conservation and conservation of the Fiji flying fox is essential to its long term survival. This will not be provided unless there is a good level of awareness in the community about the significance of this unique bat. Its potential as a flagship species for the conservation of Taveuni's highly significant forest biodiversity resource needs to be realised.

PRIORITY ACTIONS:

- Prepare materials on the Fiji flying fox in the vernacular;
- Undertake awareness campaigns in schools, communities and the tourism sector.

3.3.5 Secure full protection for the Taveuni Forest Reserve

Forest Reserve is an inadequate designation under the Forest Decree for permanent forest protection. The existing proposals to upgrade the Taveuni Forest Reserve and combine it with the Ravilevu Nature Reserve and Bouma-Lavena Forest Park as a single 'National Park' equivalent¹ needs to be kept alive and encouraged.

PRIORITY ACTIONS:

- Advocate for the Taveuni 'National Park';
- Advocate for Taveuni's forests to be placed on Fiji's World Heritage Tentative List²

.....

1 Integrated Development Plan for Taveuni (NEMP 1993);
National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (2003)

2 Refer Chape (2006).

References

- Ash, J. 1987. Stunted cloud-forest in Taveuni, Fiji. *Pacific Science* 41:191–199.
- Banack, S. A. 1996. *Flying foxes, genus Pteropus, in the Samoan Islands: interactions with forest communities*. University of California, Berkeley.
- Banack, S. A. 1998. Diet selection and habitat use by flying foxes (Genus Pteropus). *Ecology* 76:1949–1967.
- Chape, S. 2006. *Assessment of the Suitability of Placing the Taveuni Forest Reserve and Ravilevu Nature Reserve on Fiji's World Heritage Tentative List*. Unpublished Report prepared for BirdLife International, Fiji National Committee for World Heritage and National Trust for Fiji, Suva.
- Flannery, T. F. 1995. *Mammals of the south-west Pacific and Moluccan Islands*. Chatswood, Sydney.
- Helgen, K. M. 2005. Systematics of the Pacific monkey-faced bats (Chiroptera: Pteropodidae), with a new species of *Pteralopex* and a new Fijian genus. *Systematics and Biodiversity* 3:433–453.
- Helgen, K., Palmeirim, J. and Allison, A. 2008. *Mirimiri acrodonta*. In: IUCN 2009. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2009.2. www.iucnredlist.org
- Hill, J. E. and W. N. Beckon. 1978. A new species of *Pteralopex* Thomas 1888 (Chiroptera pteropodidae) from the Fiji Islands. *Bulletin of the British Museum of Natural History (Zoology)* 34:65–82.
- Palmeirim, J. M., A. Champion, A. Naikatini, J. Niukula, M. Tuiwawa, M. Fisher, M. Yabaki-Gounder, S. Thorsteinsdóttir, S. Qalavaki, and T. Dunn. 2007. Distribution, status and conservation of the bats of the Fiji Islands. *Oryx* 41:509–519.
- Palmeirim, J. M., A. Champion, A. Naikatini, J. Niukula, M. Tuiwawa, M. Fisher, M. Yabaki-Gounder, S. Thorsteinsdóttir, S. Qalovaki, and T. Dunn. 2005. *Distribution, status, and conservation of bats in the Fijian Islands*. University of the South Pacific.
- Parris, B.S. 1994. Additions to the pteridophyte flora of Taveuni, Fiji. *Fern Gaz.* 14(7):255–258.
- Scanlon, A. 2009. *Survey for the Fiji flying fox (Mirimiri acrodonta) on Des Voeux Peak, Taveuni 2009*. Unpublished report to Nature Fiji-MareqetiViti.
- Thomas, N. 2009. *Strengthening Taveuni's Protected Areas: Final Report on the Taveuni Island Conservation and Protected Areas Awareness Campaign*. Unpublished Report, NatureFiji-MareqetiViti, Suva
- Wilson, D. E. and J. Engbring. 1992. *The flying foxes Pteropus samoensis and Pteropus tonganus: status in Fiji and Samoa*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington.

BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION LESSONS LEARNED TECHNICAL SERIES

CEPF Small Grant Final Project Completion Report

Conservation of the Endangered Fiji Flying Fox *Mirimiri acrodonta* on Taveuni Island, Fiji

Organization Legal Name

Fiji Nature Conservation Trust

Project Title

Conservation of the Endangered Fiji Flying Fox *Mirimiri acrodonta* on Taveuni Island, Fiji

Date of Report

25 March 2010

Report Author and Contact Information

Dick Watling, watling@naturefiji.org

CEPF Region

Polynesia-Micronesia Hotspot

Strategic Direction

Build awareness and participation of local leaders and community members in the implementation of protection and recovery plans for threatened species.

Grant Amount

\$20,000

Project Dates

1 February 2009 – 31 January 2010



Implementation Partners for this Project

Please explain the level of involvement for each partner

- NatureFiji-MareqetiViti – lead in all components (Fiji Flying Fox Research; Community Awareness Campaign, Site Support Group; Cave Inventory and Endangered Pacific Sheath tailed bat)
- Annette Scanlon (Ph.D. researcher, University of South Australia) – lead field researcher
- National Trust for Fiji – personnel and financial support for Community Awareness Campaign;
- BirdLife International – financial contribution for Community Awareness Campaign
- Conservation International (Fiji Programme) – logistic support
- Cakaudrove Provincial Council – administrative and logistic support
- Departments of Forestry, Agriculture and Fijian Affairs – participated in Awareness Campaign

Conservation Impacts

Please explain/describe how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile

- Undertook the most detailed research/survey ever undertaken of the monotypic endemic genus Fiji flying fox *Mirimiri acrodonta*, a critically endangered species restricted to an important Key Biodiversity Area, Taveuni. Recorded the first observation of this species for 19 years and only the fifth ever since it was described. Confirmed its continued existence but extreme rarity (Project Report).
- Prepared a Species Recovery Plan for the Fiji flying fox (Project Report).
- Compiled a Preliminary Inventory of the Caves of Taveuni and in doing so recorded two caves with the Endangered Pacific sheath-tailed bat *Emballonura semicaudata* (Project Report).
- Undertook the most comprehensive community awareness campaign for conservation and protected areas targeting all the significant forest landowners on Taveuni and settlements of farmers where encroachment into the Taveuni Forest Reserve is occurring (Project Report). Taveuni is recognized as a priority site for CEPF intervention with the 2nd highest number of globally threatened species in the CEPF list of 60 sites. Used the Fiji flying fox as a flagship species for Taveuni's forests (Poster prepared).
- As a result of the meetings Department of Forestry immediately sent a team and demarcated the Taveuni Forest Reserve boundary.
- NFMV is working with landowners and Provincial Office to cease all farming within the boundary.
- Advocated widely and re-awakened the 15 year old proposal for the creation of the 'Taveuni National Park' by combining the Ravilevu Nature Reserve, the Taveuni Forest Reserve and the Bouma National Heritage Reserve. And, in addition, the placing of Taveuni on Fiji's Tentative List of World Heritage Sites.
- Updated the Fiji Protected Areas Committee on needs of communities in terms of capacity to contribute towards the conservation value of Taveuni.

Please summarize the overall results/impact of your project against the expected results detailed in the approved proposal

- Recorded the first observation of Fiji flying fox for 19 years and only the fifth since it was described. First ever capture release of this species all others ended up in museums;
- Progress made on how to distinguish the species in the field from two other pteropid flying foxes – difficult because of extreme rarity;
- Prepared a Species Recovery Plan for the Fiji flying fox;
- Did not set up a Site Support Group because no immediate suitable group/location was apparent. Instead focused on developing a relationship with the Cakaudrove Provincial Council which has greater coverage and significant authority – this was highly successful.
- Undertook two rounds of an Awareness Campaign involving 12 all day presentations – 88 landowners from 19 villages from the 3 districts on Taveuni attended the first round; 148 villagers and settlers mainly from farming settlements or villages associated with encroachment attended the second round of meetings. The comprehensive coverage of the ‘Strengthening Taveuni’s Protected Areas: Taveuni Conservation Awareness Campaign’ was made possible by the financial contribution of the National Trust and BirdLife International.
- Undertook successful work on the Endangered Pacific sheath-tailed bat.

Please provide the following information where relevant

- No new areas were established as ‘protected’, however, the project was successful in getting Department of Forestry to demarcate the boundary; the Department of Agriculture/Provincial Office to prevent encroachment. Most importantly several landowners have now started removing settlers who are encroaching within the Reserve on their lands.
- *Hectares Protected:* Taveuni Forest Reserve – assisted in protection of 13,000 ha.
- *Species Conserved:* All Taveuni’s 24 globally threatened species are within the Taveuni Forest Reserve.
- *Corridors Created:* –

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives

- While the research on the Fiji flying fox was successful – its extreme rarity meant that determining its ecology, behavior and landscape usage could not be gained in the short term. The Species Recovery Plan documents appropriate follow up research.
- The challenges of field research in Taveuni’s wet and rugged forests should not be underestimated.
- Setting up Site Support Groups for protected areas cannot be predetermined, to an extent they need to emerge organically. Being flexible and recognizing the potential of working with the Provincial Council will achieve the same goal, though when a Site Support Group does emerge it needs to be nurtured.
- An Awareness Campaign can bring together different Government Departments (4 in this case) at the community level to engage in a forum on government’s plans and how they can be integrated.

- The need to engage landowners and farming communities on their own terms to better realize conservation-oriented projects.

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

- The rarity of the Fiji flying fox given the research effort put in was surprising.
- The level of cooperation and the contribution of the Government Departments (Forestry, Agriculture and Fijian Affairs) in the Public Awareness presentations was much more positive and forthcoming than had been expected.
- Department of Forestry immediately sending a team to demarcate the Taveuni Forest Reserve boundary was entirely unexpected given the financial constraints currently being experienced by Government Departments.

Lessons Learned

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building. Consider lessons that would inform projects designed or implemented by your organization or others, as well as lessons that might be considered by the global conservation community.

Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/ shortcomings)

- The outputs of the project far exceeded those that could be expected from the level investment put in the original project. Good design enabled a researcher to be co-opted at modest cost and the project attracted significant additional funding which enabled the public awareness campaign to be significantly more comprehensive than it would have been given the original investment.
- The level of awareness amongst the land-owners and farmers of Taveuni on the island's conservation and biodiversity values was surprisingly low; on the other hand, their willingness to learn about and participate in conservation-based ideas, proper land-use practices was very positive.

Project Implementation: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/ shortcomings)

- Tremendous dedication in difficult conditions provided by the field researcher.
- Utilising on-island experience and expertise brought the Provincial Council as a significant partner in the project.

Other lessons learned relevant to conservation community:

- Landowners and the general public are willing to participate in and learn about Fiji's unique biodiversity. They do not yet have the capacity to do this. Conservation based NGOs and institutions must communicate their research results – not only to the scientific community – but, more importantly, to relevant stakeholders, landowners and local residents of their research site.

Additional Funding

Provide details of any additional donors who supported this project and any funding secured for the project as a result of the CEPF grant or success of the project.

Donor	Type of funding*	Amount	Notes
National Trust for Fiji – UNDP-GEF Programme of Work on Protected Areas (National Trust of the Fiji Islands)	B	US\$12,000	Used to augment the Community Conservation Awareness Programme
BirdLife International – Darwin Initiative	B	US\$2,500	Used to augment the Community Conservation Awareness Programme

* Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:

- A Project co-financing (Other donors contribute to the direct costs of this CEPF project)
- B Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF project.)
- C Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)

Sustainability/Replicability

Summarize the success or challenge in achieving planned sustainability or replicability of project components or results.

- The rarity of the Fiji flying fox prevented the hoped for gain in knowledge about how to identify the species in the field and an initial understanding of its ecology and landscape usage.
- The planned Site Support Group did not eventuate, although leads have been initiated which NFMV intend to follow up.
- Developing the Fiji flying fox as a flagship species for Taveuni and the Taveuni National Park appears to have been successful.

Summarize any unplanned sustainability or replicability achieved.

- The lack of SSG development was more than offset by the relationship established with the Cakaudrove Provincial Office and the Government Department office's responsible for Taveuni.

Safeguard Policy Assessment

Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.

None required

Performance Tracking Report Addendum

CEPF Global Targets (01 February 2009 – 31 January 2010)

Provide a numerical amount and brief description of the results achieved by your grant. Please respond to only those questions that are relevant to your project.

PROJECT RESULTS	If relevant, provide your numerical response for results achieved during the annual period.	Provide your numerical response for project from inception of CEPF support to date.	Describe the principal results achieved from 1 February 2009–31 January 2010. (Attach annexes if necessary)
1. <i>Did your project strengthen management of a protected area guided by a sustainable management plan? Please indicate number of hectares improved.</i>			
2. <i>How many hectares of new and/or expanded protected areas did your project help establish through a legal declaration or community agreement?</i>			
3. <i>Did your project strengthen biodiversity conservation and/or natural resources management inside a key biodiversity area identified in the CEPF ecosystem profile? If so, please indicate how many hectares.</i>	\$14,000	\$14,000	Conservation management of the Taveuni Forest Reserve and the Ravilevu Nature Reserve is at a very low status, however, this project certainly elevated the protection needs and the Department of Forestry which is responsible, responded with field activities and boundary demarcation. The Cakaudrove Provincial office, landowners and Government Departments responded for the first time on the issue of agricultural encroachment into the Taveuni Forest Reserve.
4. <i>Did your project effectively introduce or strengthen biodiversity conservation in management practices outside protected areas? If so, please indicate how many hectares.</i>	\$5,000		
5. <i>If your project promotes the sustainable use of natural resources, how many local communities accrued tangible socioeconomic benefits?</i>			

Additional Comments/Recommendations

If Taveuni is to realise its potential to become a World Heritage Site (Chape 2006), then the issues relating to the 'Protected Areas' on Taveuni need to be resolved and the 'National Park' plan (in whatever appropriate form) needs to be realized. This will require a great deal of advocacy and discussion on Taveuni and with Government in Suva. Following the current project, the stage has been set for this as the uptake amongst communities, the Provincial Council and local Government departments has been very good. The Fiji Flying Fox has become an ideal, and now quite well known, flagship species for this purpose.

Information Sharing and CEPF Policy

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our website, www.cepf.net, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

Full contact details:

Name: Dick Watling

Organization name: NatureFiji-MareqetiViti

Mailing address: Box 2041, Government Buildings, Suva, Fiji

Tel: +679 3383189

E-mail: watling@naturefiji.org

Reference

Chape, S. 2006. *Assessment of the Suitability of Placing the Taveuni Forest Reserve and Ravilevu Nature Reserve on Fiji's World Heritage Tentative List*. Unpublished Report prepared for BirdLife International, Fiji National Committee for World Heritage and National Trust for Fiji, Suva.

**CONSERVATION
INTERNATIONAL**

Pacific Islands



BIODIVERSITY
CONSERVATION
LESSONS LEARNED
TECHNICAL SERIES

1