

CEPF SMALL GRANT FINAL PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

Organization Legal Name:	Organisation pour la réhabilitation de l'Environnement
Project Title:	Organisation d'une rencontre entre les parties prenantes pour la détermination d'une stratégie d'harmonisation des interventions et pour l'identification de niches pour les investissements du CEPF dans le Parc Macaya
Date of Report:	April 27, 2012
Report Author and Contact Information	Monique Pierre Finnigan 4, Impasse Goulard, Turgeau, Port-au-Prince, Haiti Tel: 509-3758 7565 Email: mail@oreworld.org

CEPF Region: Les îles des Caraïbes

Strategic Direction:

3. Appui à la société civile des Caraïbes pour conserver la biodiversité en renforçant les capacités institutionnelles locales et régionales et en encourageant la collaboration des parties prenantes

3.2 Facilitation d'approches locales et régionales de réseau, d'apprentissage et de partage des bonnes pratiques pour renforcer l'engagement des parties prenantes dans la conservation de la biodiversité

Grant Amount: \$11,473

Project Dates: March 1-April 30, 2012

Implementation Partners for this Project (please explain the level of involvement for each partner):

Les Parties prenantes invitées à participer à cette rencontre ont répondu à l'invitation à 85% avec en plus la participation d'une commune qui s'est sentie concernée bien que n'ayant pas directement été invitée (Tiburon). La participation des représentants de l'Etat au niveau régional (Directions départementales) a été satisfaisante ainsi que celle de toutes les collectivités locales (Mairies) et de la société civile concernée par le devenir du Parc National Macaya. Les instances étatiques ont présenté leurs projets pour Macaya et les membres de la société civile et des collectivités ont exprimé leurs recommandations et suggestions pour la sauvegarde du Parc National Macaya. Tous ont exprimé une volonté de rester engagé dans cette lutte pour la conservation de la biodiversité.

Conservation Impacts

Please explain/describe how your project has contributed to the implementation of the CEPF ecosystem profile.

Le projet a permis aux parties prenantes du projet, appartenant à onze communes, de renouer des relations de collaboration en appui à des efforts de sauvegarde des ressources naturelles du Parc Macaya. Il a aussi permis de raviver l'idée d'une coordination intercommunale pour une gestion commune de l'espace du Parc.

Please summarize the overall results/impact of your project against the expected results detailed in the approved proposal.

Le résultat immédiat a été les échanges de points de vue des parties prenantes, l'évaluation des interventions passées, des leçons apprises, l'énoncé de scénarios et propositions et l'identification des interventions prioritaires.

Please provide the following information where relevant:

Hectares Protected: NA
Species Conserved: NA
Corridors Created: NA

Describe the success or challenges of the project toward achieving its short-term and long-term impact objectives.

Le projet a réalisé son objectif d'identifier et de réunir les parties prenantes concernées par la sauvegarde et la conservation de la biosphère de Macaya. Le défi reste de maintenir leur intérêt et de continuer le plaidoyer en faveur de Macaya.

Were there any unexpected impacts (positive or negative)?

Un impact positif inattendu est la participation élevée des représentants de la société civile (23 au lieu de 18) et le consensus pour le rétablissement de l'autorité de l'Etat

Lessons Learned

Describe any lessons learned during the design and implementation of the project, as well as any related to organizational development and capacity building. Consider lessons that would inform projects designed or implemented by your organization or others, as well as lessons that might be considered by the global conservation community.

La durée du projet bénéficierait à être prolongée d'un mois pour une planification plus rapprochée avec les participants (coordination de dates) et une session de deux jours complets pour un rapprochement et un échange plus fructueux. Les parties prenantes sont éloignées l'une de l'autre et les prétextes de rencontres ne sont pas aisés.

Project Design Process: (aspects of the project design that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

Contribution au succès: Le regroupement des participants en un local spacieux pour leur hébergement et la tenue de la réunion. Cela a permis des échanges en dehors de la réunion strictement et a permis une ambiance plus détendue.

Project Implementation: (aspects of the project execution that contributed to its success/shortcomings)

Contribution au succès: La prise en charge du transport et de l'hébergement a libéré les participants des soucis de ces frais qui sont élevés dans une région où les moyens de communication ne sont pas faciles et directs.

Other lessons learned relevant to conservation community:

Le partage d'informations et le renforcement de la coordination des parties prenantes pour un objectif commun.

ADDITIONAL FUNDING

Provide details of any additional donors who supported this project and any funding secured for the project as a result of the CEPF grant or success of the project. N/A

Donor	Type of Funding*	Amount	Notes
-------	------------------	--------	-------

***Additional funding should be reported using the following categories:**

- A** *Project co-financing (Other donors contribute to the direct costs of this CEPF project)*
N/A
- B** *Grantee and Partner leveraging (Other donors contribute to your organization or a partner organization as a direct result of successes with this CEPF project.)*
N/A
- C** *Regional/Portfolio leveraging (Other donors make large investments in a region because of CEPF investment or successes related to this project.)*
N/A

Sustainability/Replicability

Summarize the success or challenge in achieving planned sustainability or replicability of project components or results.

N/A

Summarize any unplanned sustainability or replicability achieved.

N/A

Safeguard Policy Assessment

Provide a summary of the implementation of any required action toward the environmental and social safeguard policies within the project.

L'implication et la responsabilisation des Représentants de l'Etat pour garantir la pérennité des politiques de conservation et sauvegarde de la biodiversité.

Additional Comments/Recommendations

Information Sharing and CEPF Policy

CEPF is committed to transparent operations and to helping civil society groups share experiences, lessons learned, and results. Final project completion reports are made available on our Web site, www.cepf.net, and publicized in our newsletter and other communications.

Please include your full contact details below:

Name: Monique Pierre Finnigan

Organization name: Organisation pour la Réhabilitation de l'Environnement

Mailing address: PO Box 2314, Port-au-Prince

Tel: (509) 3758 7565

Fax: 1-305 513 5090

E-mail: mail@oreworld.org

*****If your grant has an end date other than JUNE 30, please complete the tables on the following pages*****

Performance Tracking Report Addendum

CEPF Global Targets

(Enter Grant Term)

Provide a numerical amount and brief description of the results achieved by your grant.
Please respond to only those questions that are relevant to your project.

Project Results	Is this question relevant?	If yes, provide your numerical response for results achieved during the annual period.	Provide your numerical response for project from inception of CEPF support to date.	Describe the principal results achieved from July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008. (Attach annexes if necessary)
1. Did your project strengthen management of a protected area guided by a sustainable management plan? Please indicate number of hectares improved.				Please also include name of the protected area(s). If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
2. How many hectares of new and/or expanded protected areas did your project help establish through a legal declaration or community agreement?				Please also include name of the protected area. If more than one, please include the number of hectares strengthened for each one.
3. Did your project strengthen biodiversity conservation and/or natural resources management inside a key biodiversity area identified in the CEPF ecosystem profile? If so, please indicate how many hectares.				
4. Did your project effectively introduce or strengthen biodiversity conservation in management practices outside protected areas? If so, please indicate how many hectares.				
5. If your project promotes the sustainable use of natural resources, how many local communities accrued tangible socioeconomic benefits? Please complete Table 1 below.				

If you answered yes to question 5, please complete the following table.

Table 1. Socioeconomic Benefits to Target Communities

Please complete this table if your project provided concrete socioeconomic benefits to local communities. List the name of each community in column one. In the subsequent columns under Community Characteristics and Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit, place an X in all relevant boxes. In the bottom row, provide the totals of the Xs for each column.

Name of Community	Community Characteristics								Nature of Socioeconomic Benefit													
	Small landowners	Subsistence economy	Indigenous/ ethnic peoples	Pastoralists/nomadic peoples	Recent migrants	Urban communities	Communities falling below the poverty rate	Other	Increased Income due to:				Increased food security due to the adoption of sustainable fishing, hunting, or agricultural practices	More secure access to water resources	Improved tenure in land or other natural resource due to titling, reduction of colonization, etc.	Reduced risk of natural disasters (fires, landslides, flooding, etc)	More secure sources of energy	Increased access to public services, such as education, health, or credit	Improved use of traditional knowledge for environmental management	More participatory decision-making due to strengthened civil society and governance.	Other	
									Adoption of sustainable natural resources management practices	Ecotourism revenues	Park management activities	Payment for environmental services										
Total																						

If you marked "Other", please provide detail on the nature of the Community Characteristic and Socioeconomic Benefit:

